1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 1400 ROUTE 300, LLC 6 1400 Route 300, Newburgh Section 60; Block 3; Lot 43 7 IB Zone 8 - - - - - - - - - - - X 9 10 Date: February 22, 2024 11 7:00 p.m. Time: Place: Town of Newburgh 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 SIOBHAN JABLESNIK 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: EDWARD GARRITY 22 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845)541 - 4163

2 MR. BELL: Good evening. The 3 first order of business is the public 4 hearings that are scheduled for 5 today.

First I want to say that the
chairman is out sick this evening,
he's not here, so I'm sitting in in
his place this evening.

10 The procedure of the Board is 11 that the applicant will be called 12 upon to step forward, state their 13 request and explain why it should be 14 The Board will then ask the granted. 15 applicant any questions it may have, 16 and then questions or comments from 17 the public will be entertained. The 18 Board will consider all applicants in 19 the order heard. We will try to 20 render a decision, but we have up to 21 62 days to reach a determination.

I ask that if anyone has a cellphone, to please put it on silent. When you are called upon, step forward and -- we don't have a

2	mic tonight. When you're called
3	upon when each applicant is called
4	upon, please step forward and speak
5	MS. JABLESNIK: There is a mic.
6	It works tonight.
7	MR. BELL: Make sure that when you
8	step forward, speak into the mic because
9	our stenographer is recording the
10	meeting.
11	At this time, give me a roll call.
12	MS. JABLESNIK: Darrell Bell.
13	MR. BELL: Present.
14	MS. JABLESNIK: James Eberhart.
15	MR. EBERHART: Present.
16	MS. JABLESNIK: Greg Hermance.
17	MR. HERMANCE: Present.
18	MS. JABLESNIK: John Masten.
19	MR. MASTEN: Here.
20	MS. JABLESNIK: James Politi.
21	MR. POLITI: Present.
22	MS. JABLESNIK: Donna Rein.
23	MS. REIN: Here.
24	MS. JABLESNIK: Darrin Scalzo is
25	absent this evening.

2	Also present is our Attorney, Dave
3	Donovan; from Code Compliance is Joseph
4	Mattina; and our Stenographer this
5	evening is Michelle Conero.
6	MR. BELL: If we would all stand,
7	please.
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	MR. BELL: The first order of
10	business this evening is 1400 Route 300,
11	LLC in Newburgh. It's an area variance
12	of maximum height of an existing
13	nonconforming freestanding sign.
14	Who is here this evening to
15	represent?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. DONOVAN: As the judge likes to
18	say, second call.
19	(Time noted: 7:03 p.m.)
20	(Time resumed: 7:15 p.m.)
21	MR. BELL: This is an area
22	variance, again, for the maximum height
23	of an existing nonconforming free-
24	standing sign at 1400 Route 300, LLC. Go
25	ahead.

2 MR. DONOVAN: Tell us who you are 3 for the record and why you think you're entitled to the variance. 4 5 MR. GARRITY: Edward Garrity. Mv 6 family, we have owned that mall for, I 7 think about thirteen years now. The 8 sign, from my understanding, is there for 9 as long as we've owned it. At this point really what we're 10 11 looking to do is to try to just change 12 the existing pylon signs that are there 13 to conform with the new tenants that have 14 moved in over the past couple years. 15 We have had a change over the past 16 three years. We've had a couple new 17 tenants move in. We have done what we 18 could with them for the time being. Mv 19 mother and I are hoping that we can get 20 this variance, that way we can get them 21 all up there. All small businesses, 22 aside from Mattress Firm. 23 MR. DONOVAN: The size and location 24 is going to be the same? 25 MR. GARRITY: Just different signs.

2	We want to interchange the existing signs
3	so it conforms with the new occupancy.
4	MR. DONOVAN: Joe, so I'm clear,
5	it's too close to the road? The height
6	needs to be relative to the distance to
7	the road?
8	MR. MATTINA: Correct.
9	MR. GARRITY: From my understanding
10	MR. MATTINA: The new sign law, the
11	sign has to set back the distance from
12	the height of the sign, which is 18 feet.
13	MR. GARRITY: From my understanding,
14	that changed a couple years ago.
15	MR. MATTINA: About two years ago.
16	MR. GARRITY: It would be really
17	we would be really grateful if we could
18	just adjust the existing signs that are
19	there for our new tenants. You want to
20	give them the best chance to run a
21	business. You know, besides Mattress
22	Firm, they're all small businesses. I
23	think you already know Pizza Union has
24	been there. Hannoush Jewelers just moved
25	in two years ago or so. We have a new

2	salon, she's been in the area for quite
3	sometime as well. Then Saxon Hall, and
4	they've been there for a couple years,
5	too. We would like to change so they
6	have their own signs on the existing
7	structure.
8	MS. REIN: The signs are going to
9	just be the ladder signs that they are
10	now?
11	MR. GARRITY: For the new tenants
12	to put their new signs in. We have
13	someone we use to interchange the signs.
14	Yes, to answer your question.
15	MR. POLITI: The structure stays
16	the same?
17	MR. GARRITY: The structure stays
18	the same.
19	MR. EBERHART: You're changing the
20	size of the placards?
21	MR. GARRITY: What they would do is
22	they would get a new sign. We have
23	someone we use up here. They would get
24	the sign approved by us, the same size
25	for all the tenants in there, and they

1 1400 Route 300, LLC 2 would just be input in place. 3 There's one tenant who is a 4 physical therapist who had been there for 5 quite sometime. I don't know if -- a Polish man. He's been out of there for a 6 7 number of years now. 8 Understanding what it is, we're 9 just trying to help our tenants to the 10 best of our ability. 11 MR. BELL: So basically overall 12 what you're trying to do, you have new 13 businesses that are occupied --14 MR. GARRITY: Correct. 15 MR. BELL: -- and you want to put 16 their business on the current post? 17 MR. GARRITY: Correct. 18 MR. BELL: Okay. All right. Is 19 there anyone here from the public who 20 wishes to speak on this? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. BELL: No one from the public. 23 Okay. Anything else? 24 MS. REIN: I'm good. MR. BELL: I'll make a motion to 25

1

1400 Route 300, LLC

2 close the public hearing. 3 MR. POLITI: I'll second. 4 MR. BELL: Okay. All in favor? 5 MR. POLITI: Aye. 6 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 7 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 8 MR. BELL: Aye. 9 MR. MASTEN: Aye. MS. REIN: Aye. 10 11 MR. BELL: This is a --12 MR. DONOVAN: Type 2 action under 13 SEQRA. It is replacement in kind. MR. BELL: We'll go through the 14 15 five factors, the first one being whether 16 or not the benefit can be achieved by any 17 other means feasible to the applicant. 18 No. 19 MS. REIN: No. 20 MR. BELL: Second, is there an 21 undesirable change in the neighborhood 22 character or a detriment --23 MS. REIN: No. 24 MR. MASTEN: No. 25 MR. BELL: -- to nearby properties.

1 1400 Route 300, LLC 2 Third, whether the request is 3 substantial. 4 MR. POLITI: No. 5 MR. EBERHART: No. 6 MR. HERMANCE: No. 7 MR. BELL: No. 8 MR. MASTEN: No. 9 MS. REIN: No. MR. BELL: Fourth, whether the 10 request will have adverse physical or 11 12 environmental effects. 13 MR. POLITI: No. 14 MR. EBERHART: No. 15 MR. HERMANCE: No. 16 MR. BELL: No. 17 MR. MASTEN: No. 18 MS. REIN: No. 19 MR. BELL: Fifth, whether the alleged difficulty is self-created. 20 This 21 is relevant but not determinative. 22 Is there a motion from the Board? 23 MS. REIN: I'll make a motion to 24 vote for approval. MR. MASTEN: I'll second it. 25

1 1400 Route 300, LLC 2 MR. BELL: We have a first from Ms. 3 Rein and a second from Mr. Masten. Roll 4 call on that. 5 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell? 6 MR. BELL: Yes. 7 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart? 8 MR. EBERHART: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance? 9 10 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 11 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? 12 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 13 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? 14 MR. POLITI: Yes. 15 MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? 16 MS. REIN: Yes. 17 MR. BELL: The variance is 18 approved. 19 MR. GARRITY: Thank you. I appreciate it. I apologize for my 20 21 tardiness. Have a great night. 22 (Time noted: 7:23 p.m.) 23 24 25

1	1400 Route 300, LLC
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

	TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS		
In the Matter of	In the Matter of		
DEBORAH HANLON	I & GREG KOPE		
	5 Wellington Court, Wallkill Section 4; Block 2; Lot 50.52 BR Zone		
	X		
	February 22, 2024		
Time:	7:03 p.m.		
riace	Town Hall		
	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, New York		
	LL BELL, Acting Chairman EBERHART, JR.		
GREG I	IERMANCE		
JAMES	POLITI		
DONNA	KE IN		
	DONOVAN, ESQ.		
	AN JABLESNIK		
APPLICANI 5 REPRESENTATIV	& GREG KOPF		
	X		
Court Rep	orter		
	In the Matter of DEBORAH HANLON 5 Wellington Cou Section 4; Block RR Zone Date: Time: Place: BOARD MEMBERS: DARREI JAMES GREG H JOHN M JAMES DONNA ALSO PRESENT: DAVID JOSEPH		

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 MR. BELL: Moving on. The next 3 applicant is Deborah Hanlon. Step 4 It's an area variance of the forward. 5 rear yard setback to build a pool deck. 6 So everyone knows, we are all 7 required to visit each site. 8 If you want to tell us what your 9 request is, what you are trying to do. 10 MS. HANLON: Just a pool deck. I 11 have an aboveground pool. Not even 12 around the whole thing. Mostly one side. 13 Not that I'm not sure why I'm here, but 14 I'm not sure why I'm here. 15 MR. BELL: Okay. Well, like I 16 said, we are required to go out -- I'm 17 sorry. Did you state your name and address? 18 19 MS. HANLON: Deborah Hanlon, 5 20 Wellington Court in Wallkill, New York. 21 MR. BELL: Thank you. 22 How many mailings did we have? 23 MS. JABLESNIK: 26. 24 MR. BELL: 26 mailings. Okay. 25 MR. DONOVAN: Why you're here is

1	Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf
2	Code Compliance has made a determination
3	that you be a certain number of feet away
4	from your rear yard lot line. When you
5	put the deck up, you won't be.
6	Is it going to be attached to the
7	house?
8	MR. MATTINA: It's attached to the
9	house deck. There's no separation
10	between the house deck and the pool deck.
11	MS. HANLON: This is the back and
12	forth.
13	MR. KOPF: My name is Greg Kopf, 5
14	Wellington Court, Wallkill, New York.
15	This is what we've been going back
16	and forth with from the beginning.
17	First of all, it's only an
18	aboveground pool deck. There is a
19	separation. There's not 10 feet
20	separation. The pool was already built
21	and approved. There were no issues with
22	it. Now we want to put a deck between
23	our pool and the deck we already have on
24	our house. The one deck is going right
25	up to the other deck. If you want to go

Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf

1

2 technicalities, you can lay on the ground 3 and look straight up to the sky and 4 there's nothing blocking it. There is 5 separation. 6 We paid an architect to draw it, to 7 do it the way you guys require. 8 MR. BELL: When you say it was 9 built and approved, are you saying a deck 10 you --11 MR. KOPF: The pool was applied for 12 three or four years ago. We did all the 13 permits, inspections. That's done. Now, 14 since we're really not using the pool 15 because to access an aboveground pool 16 from a ladder isn't the best way, we want 17 to build a deck between our pool and our 18 existing deck. It's at a different 19 level. It's not connected to it. I just 20 -- it's been like -- I don't understand 21 since we started this process. 22 MR. BELL: Okay. 23 MS. REIN: Excuse me. The stuff 24 that was in the backyard, the sticks, you weren't in the house so I wasn't able to 25

1	Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf
2	ask you, is that where the deck is going?
3	MR. KOPF: Yes.
4	MS. REIN: That's very close to
5	your house.
6	MR. KOPF: Those are the footings I
7	started marking out, not thinking it
8	would take two years to get a permit.
9	They're pretty much rotted out and have
10	to be redone.
11	MR. BELL: Okay.
12	MR. POLITI: How do you access the
13	deck you want to build?
14	MS. HANLON: There will be a
15	staircase.
16	MR. KOPF: There's a staircase off
17	the deck we already have. You have to
18	walk into the yard and go to the
19	staircase to the pool.
20	MR. POLITI: It is different than
21	when I talked to you on the phone. I saw
22	that there's an elevation difference.
23	There won't be a direct connection?
24	MR. KOPF: There's no connection.
25	MR. BELL: Mr. Eberhart?

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 MR. EBERHART: No questions. 3 MR. HERMANCE: You walk down your 4 existing deck to the ground level, walk 5 around and walk to another set of stairs? MR. KOPF: There's an existing pad 6 7 -- not pad. There's existing stepping 8 stones already there that would go to the ladder of the pool. It would be the same 9 10 idea to get to the pool. 11 MS. HANLON: We probably are not 12 going to do -- we're not going to build 13 another thing on our property because of 14 this process. Originally it was the pool 15 and we were going to do a patio, a big 16 gazebo and an outdoor kitchen. Our 17 original deck design didn't come off our 18 house. It was really kind of going to 19 the additional, like, outdoor kitchen, 20 which we're not even attempting at this 21 point. 22 MR. KOPF: We won't spend another 23 dime. 24 MR. BELL: Why did it take so long? 25 MR. KOPF: Why?

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 MR. BELL: Yes. 3 MR. KOPF: Well, that's what our 4 architect and engineer would like to 5 I know they went back and forth know. 6 with, I guess, the Building Department 7 saying there's no space. It's got to be 8 a 10-foot space between the existing deck and the new deck, which I don't know. 9 Ιf 10 you drive around Town, most people have 11 their pool deck -- their back door 12 connects to their deck which goes right 13 to the pool. I have no idea what the 14 pissing match has been, but it's been 15 ridiculous. 16 MS. REIN: Unfortunately we have to 17 abide by the same rules you do. We don't 18 make them. 19 MR. KOPF: That's fine. That's why 20 we paid a professional to do it. I've been applying for permits for 21 22 thirty years doing HVAC and I've never 23 had this problem. Twice I've had to 24 show, you know, more information, but 25 this was almost like maybe somebody

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 doesn't like our architect. I don't 3 know. 4 MS. REIN: I don't think that's the 5 case. MR. BELL: John? 6 7 MR. MASTEN: I have no questions. 8 I was up there and I saw two coyotes run through your yard. 9 10 MR. KOPF: Hopefully our dogs are 11 bigger than them. 12 MR. MASTEN: They were good size 13 coyotes. MR. BELL: Your dog greeted me at 14 15 the front door. 16 MR. KOPF: They're nice. 17 MR. BELL: Joe, do you know the 18 history of why this was an issue? 19 MR. MATTINA: Basically the minimum 20 required rear yard setback by definition 21 says occupied ground area to the rear 22 vard line. It doesn't matter if it's one inch away or one foot away. You don't 23 24 have unoccupied area to the rear property 25 line. You have the house deck, you've

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf

got the pool deck, you've got the pool.
That is occupied ground area. It doesn't
fit our definition.

5 MR. KOPF: There's a space between deck 1 and deck 2. That's open area. 6 7 What I think the Town was saying, whoever 8 was saying it, was that it has to be 10 9 feet of space between one deck and 10 another deck, then they're saying the setback of the property, we're going to 11 12 be too close to the back of our property. 13 Our pool is already there and at that 14 distance from the back of the pool to the 15 back of the property, which is like 80 16 something feet. We're not getting any 17 closer to the back of our property by 18 building this deck.

19MS. REIN: Joe, was the pool20approved?

21 MR. MATTINA: Yes. The pool was a 22 standalone structure by itself that was 23 separated by 20 feet.

MS. JABLESNIK: Just so you can
understand, you're required to --

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf

2 anything that's not connected to your 3 house, you're required to be 10 feet 4 away. When you're not 10 feet away, it 5 becomes -- it's so close now, it becomes 6 the setback to the property line. That's 7 where their issue is. 8 MR. DONOVAN: Code Compliance has made a determination. 9 These folks have 10 appeared. You have an area variance in 11 front of you. That's what you have. 12 MR. EBERHART: Personally I don't 13 see why it would be an issue for them. 14 In my mind this should be approved. 15 MR. BELL: Is there anyone from the public who wishes to speak on this 16 17 matter? 18 Ma'am, come forward and state your 19 name. 20 MS. BROWNE: I'm Susan Browne. 21 Everyone should have wonderful neighbors 22 like this. Our backyard looks into where 23 there pool is and I have absolutely no 24 objection at all. 25 MR. KOPF: Thank you.

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 MR. BELL: Is anyone else here from 3 the public? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. BELL: Okay. At this time we'll make a motion to close the public 6 7 hearing. 8 MR. MASTEN: I'll make a motion to 9 close the public hearing. 10 MR. EBERHART: Second. 11 MR. BELL: I have a first from Mr. 12 Masten and a second from Mr. Eberhart. 13 All in favor? 14 MR. POLITI: Aye. 15 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 16 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 17 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 18 MS. REIN: Aye. 19 MR. BELL: Aye. 20 MR. DONOVAN: Mr. Chairman, this is a Type 2 action under SEQRA. You can go 21 22 through the five-part balancing tests. 23 MR. BELL: That's exactly where I was going. This is a Type 2 action under 24 25 SEQRA.

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf 2 Okay. The first one being whether or not the benefit can be achieved by any 3 4 other means feasible to the applicant. 5 As we're hearing, the space between the deck and the pool, the pool is already 6 7 there aboveground, so no. 8 Okay. Number two, is there any 9 undesirable change in the neighborhood 10 character or a detriment to nearby 11 properties. I don't see that. 12 MR. POLITI: No. 13 MR. EBERHART: No. 14 MR. HERMANCE: No. 15 MR. MASTEN: No. 16 MS. REIN: No. 17 MR. BELL: The third, whether the 18 request is substantial. 19 Fourth, whether the request will 20 have adverse physical or environmental 21 effects, which it won't. 22 The fifth one, whether the alleged 23 difficulty is self-created. This is 24 relevant but not determinative. 25 Is there a motion at this time from

1 Deborah Hanlon & Greq Kopf 2 the Board? 3 MR. HERMANCE: I'll make a motion 4 to approve. 5 MR. EBERHART: I'll second it. 6 MR. BELL: I've got a motion to 7 approve by Mr. Hermance, Greg, and a 8 second by Mr. Eberhart. 9 Siobhan, roll on that, please. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell? 10 11 MR. BELL: Yes. 12 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart? 13 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 14 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance? 15 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 16 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? 17 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 18 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? 19 MR. POLITI: Yes. 20 MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? 21 MS. REIN: Yes. 22 MR. BELL: Approved. MR. KOPF: Thank you. 23 24 (Time noted: 7:15 p.m.) 25

1	Deborah Hanlon & Greg Kopf
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1					
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS				
3		20NING B	- $ -$ X		
4	In the Matter of				
5	JAMES PURPURA				
6					
7	32 North Fostertown Drive, Newburgh Section 17; Block 2; Lot 59 R-2 Zone				
8					
9			X		
10		Date	February 22 2024		
11		Time: Place:	February 22, 2024 7:23 p.m. Town of Newburgh		
12		11000.	Town Hall 1496 Route 300		
13			Newburgh, New York		
14					
15	BOARD MEMBERS:		BELL, Acting Chairman ERHART, JR.		
16		GREG HER JOHN MAS	MANCE		
17		JAMES PO DONNA RE	LITI		
18					
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DAVID DO JOSEPH M	NOVAN, ESQ.		
20			JABLESNIK		
21	APPLICANT'S REPRESE		TAMES DIIRDIIRA		
22		IN I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
23	МТСН		X CONERO		
24	Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com				
25		5) 541-41			

1 James Purpura

2	MR. BELL: The third one is James
3	Purpura, 32 North Fostertown Drive,
4	Newburgh for an area variance of the
5	maximum square footage and an accessory
6	structure in the front yard to install an
7	18 x 26 carport.
8	State your name and address.
9	MR. PURPURA: James Purpura, 32
10	North Fostertown Drive.
11	I'd like to put a carport up. It
12	won't be for a car, it will be for a
13	camper. The backyard is not accessible,
14	so I'd like to get a variance for the
15	front yard.
16	MR. BELL: We have all been out to
17	take a look. We've seen your temporary
18	carport for your camper and all.
19	MR. PURPURA: I don't have a
20	temporary carport. That's just a picture
21	of what it would look like without the
22	camper.
23	MR. BELL: Without the camper.
24	Okay.
25	MR. PURPURA: I can't afford one of

1

2

James	Pu:	rp	ura
-------	-----	----	-----

them.

3 MR. BELL: This is what you're 4 trying to build? 5 MR. PURPURA: Yes, sir. MR. BELL: Okay. I'll start down 6 7 to my right there. 8 MR. EBERHART: I just had some 9 concerns in terms of the type of facility 10 he's looking to put there. MR. BELL: Okay. 11 12 MR. EBERHART: I think it would be 13 out of character. MS. REIN: When I went around the 14 15 corner to that property, there was 16 another one just like that. It had a 17 carport just like that. All of the other 18 RVs in that area are positioned exactly 19 where this gentleman's RV is positioned. 20 There's no room to go anywhere else. He 21 can't get into the backyard. 22 MR. EBERHART: There are 23 alternatives, though. 24 MS. REIN: I can't hear you. 25 MR. EBERHART: There was an

1 James Purpura

alternative that you could put it in a
location, a storage location that rents
out for RVs.
MS. REIN: Those are very
expensive.
MR. EBERHART: I don't know. I
think it's out of character.
MR. BELL: Mr. Politi?
MR. POLITI: I did go out and see.
It is in the front yard. I had the same
concern. Yes, there are other
alternatives. If you could find a
storage facility for it. Again, I know
they're in the other areas. It's in the
front yard and it's you have a pretty
good size that you're putting in
MR. PURPURA: It's 20 feet.
MR. POLITI: with the unit
itself. Those are my concerns. There
are alternatives.
MR. PURPURA: We don't have that
type of camper.
MR. POLITI: I was there. That's
the same type of system.

1 James Purpura

2	There are no walls on it?
3	MR. PURPURA: No walls.
4	MR. POLITI: It's just a cover?
5	MR. PURPURA: It's open on four
6	sides. The camper is sitting right there
7	right now. I mean, I could keep it
8	there. The problem is, these campers
9	have rubber roofs. They don't like the
10	weather. They don't like hot, they don't
11	like cold, they don't like ice. I've
12	been on that roof many, many times
13	putting the cover on. Since I hit my
14	eighties, the wind is going to take me
15	off that roof one of these days. It's
15 16	off that roof one of these days. It's going to hurt when I hit the ground. I
	_
16	going to hurt when I hit the ground. I
16 17	going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there
16 17 18	going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there anymore. Not myself anyway.
16 17 18 19	going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there anymore. Not myself anyway. MS. REIN: I think if we change
16 17 18 19 20	going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there anymore. Not myself anyway. MS. REIN: I think if we change anything and the camper can't be there in
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there anymore. Not myself anyway. MS. REIN: I think if we change anything and the camper can't be there in the front yard, you're going to have to</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>going to hurt when I hit the ground. I just can't put that big cover up on there anymore. Not myself anyway. MS. REIN: I think if we change anything and the camper can't be there in the front yard, you're going to have to go to all of his neighbors and tell them</pre>

1

James Purpura

2 is no place to go. I mean, this 3 gentleman has some woods back there that I thought I was going to sink in. 4 It's 5 very, very wet and muddy. Some of the other folks on the 6 7 road, they don't really have a backyard. 8 I mean, there's no other place to 9 put it. I don't know. Outside of having 10 this man go and spend a lot more money to house it somewhere. He's talking about 11 12 just a cover on top, just to make sure 13 that the weather doesn't get to it. As I 14 said, I went through that property and 15 there is no place to move that. No 16 place. The other properties as well. Ιf 17 we tell him no, what do we do about the 18 other properties? 19 MR. HERMANCE: They all have 20 structures, the other properties? 21 MS. REIN: Yes. All of the garages 22 are out front, again because the way the 23 properties are laid out. Everyone that 24 has an RV on those two roads and around 25 the corner have the RV in their front

1 James Purpura

2	yard, right next to the garage.
3	MR. BELL: You're saying it's in
4	character with the neighborhood?
5	MS. REIN: Yes, it is in character.
6	There's another gentleman right around
7	the corner who has the same carport as
8	Mr. Purpura wants. If we don't if we
9	deny it, we're going to have other issues
10	with all those other people.
11	MR. BELL: John?
12	MR. MASTEN: I know that area
13	because five years ago it was all flooded
14	out. Where Jim wants his carport would
15	be the proper place because there's so
16	much water that goes through that area.
17	MR. BELL: Okay.
18	MR. PURPURA: A lot of it comes in
19	my backyard. I do have a coyote once in
20	awhile.
21	MR. HERMANCE: However, the Town
22	doesn't allow structures built in the
23	front of the properties. That's the
24	issue.
25	MR. BELL: Okay. Is there anyone

1 James Purpura

2	here from the public who wishes to speak
3	on this matter?
4	MR. WARREN: I'm Lynn Warren. I'm
5	his neighbor for probably thirty years.
6	MR. BELL: State your name.
7	MR. WARREN: The camper has been
8	there forever.
9	Lynn Warren, 18 North Fostertown
10	Drive. How are you?
11	He's been there forever. The
12	camper has been there forever. I don't
13	think any of our neighbors it's a
14	little community we have. We've all been
15	there forever. I mean, it's not a big
16	deal. It really isn't. I mean, it's not
17	really a big deal. It's just what you
18	have to do. There are no backyards over
19	there. My backyard is a swamp. The
20	water that streams, it overflows, it
21	comes up and there's no other place this
22	gentleman could put it.
23	For me, to have him go out, take
24	out another bill just to have something
25	he enjoys in his life, I think we might

```
1 James Purpura
```

2 want to think twice about that. That's 3 it. 4 MR. PURPURA: Thank you. 5 MR. BELL: Is there anybody else 6 from the public? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. PURPURA: That camper is our 9 vacation. That's it. We don't go 10 anywhere else. We enjoy it. MR. BELL: Okay. Well, is there a 11 motion to close the public hearing? 12 MR. EBERHART: I'll make a motion 13 14 that we close the public hearing. 15 MR. MASTEN: I'll second it. 16 MR. BELL: We have a first from Mr. 17 Eberhart and a second from Mr. Masten. All in favor? 18 19 MR. POLITI: Aye. 20 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 21 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 22 MR. BELL: Aye. 23 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 24 MS. REIN: Aye. 25 MR. BELL: So this is a Type 2

⊥ James Purpura	1	J	a	m	е	s		Ρ	u	r	р	u	r	a	
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

2	action?
3	MR. DONOVAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman
4	Mr. Vice Chairman.
5	MR. BELL: Thank you. We'll go
6	through the five factors here, the first
7	one being whether or not the benefits can
8	be achieved by any other means feasible
9	to the applicant.
10	MR. MASTEN: No.
11	MS. REIN: No.
12	MR. BELL: The second, is there an
13	undesirable change in the neighborhood
14	character or a detriment to nearby
15	properties.
16	MS. REIN: No.
17	MR. MASTEN: No.
18	MR. BELL: Third, whether the
19	request is substantial.
20	MS. REIN: No.
21	MR. MASTEN: No.
22	MR. BELL: Fourth, whether the
23	request will have adverse physical or
24	environmental effects.
25	MS. REIN: No.
1 James Purpura

2	MR. BELL: The fifth is whether the
3	alleged difficulty is self-created. This
4	is relevant but not determinative.
5	With that said, what is the motion
6	from the Board?
7	MS. REIN: I'll make a motion to
8	approve.
9	MR. MASTEN: I'll second it.
10	MR. BELL: We have a motion to
11	approve by Ms. Rein and a second by Mr.
12	Masten. Roll call.
13	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
14	MR. BELL: Yes.
15	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart?
16	MR. EBERHART: No.
17	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
18	MR. HERMANCE: No.
19	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
20	MR. MASTEN: Yes.
21	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi?
22	MR. POLITI: No.
23	MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein?
24	MS. REIN: Yes.
25	MR. DONOVAN: It's a three-three

1 James Purpura

2	vote. It's a seven-member Board. You
3	need four votes. That is a denial.
4	MR. PURPURA: Okay. Can I vote?
5	MR. BELL: I'm sorry.
6	MR. DONOVAN: You have the ability
7	to request that the Board hear it again.
8	We're short a member. The chairman is
9	sick tonight. I have no idea how he
10	would vote.
11	MR. PURPURA: Can we do that?
12	MR. DONOVAN: We can't do anything.
13	MR. BELL: You have to do it.
14	MR. DONOVAN: You can't do it now.
15	You have to make a request in writing.
16	MR. PURPURA: All right.
17	MR. BELL: That would come through
18	you. Correct? You would make
19	MS. JABLESNIK: Write me a letter.
20	MR. PURPURA: That's it?
21	MR. BELL: Yes.
22	MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
23	
24	(Time noted: 7:32 p.m.)
25	

1	James Purpura
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 ILENE & TERRENCE OSBOURNE 6 4 Virginia Circle, Newburgh Section 105; Block 7; Lot 14 7 R-3 Zone 8 9 - - - - - - - - - - - X 10 February 22, 2024 Date: 7:32 p.m. 11 Time: Town of Newburgh Place: 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 SIOBHAN JABLESNIK 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVES: ILENE OSBOURNE & 22 TERRENCE OSBOURNE 23 - - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845)541 - 4163

1 Ilene & Terrence Osbourne 2 MR. BELL: Moving along. We have 3 Ilene and Terrence Osbourne, 4 Virginia 4 Circle in Newburgh, an area variance of 5 the minimum front yard setback to build a 4.8×6 covered front porch. 6 7 MR. OSBOURNE: Terrene and Ilene 8 Osbourne. 9 We're just requesting a cover at 10 the front steps/entrance to our house. 11 MR. BELL: Okay. We have all been 12 out there to see that. I did take a look 13 around the neighborhood to see if it 14 would be out of character, which it's 15 not. 16 I'll go over to Mr. James. 17 MR. POLITI: Yes. There are a 18 number of them in the neighborhood. 19 It is just covering the platform. 20 Correct? 21 MR. OSBOURNE: Yes. 22 MR. EBERHART: No questions for me. 23 MR. BELL: Greq? 24 MR. HERMANCE: I have none. 25 MR. BELL: John, do you have any

1 Ilene & Terrence Osbourne 2 questions? 3 MR. MASTEN: I'm day dreaming. 4 MR. BELL: I saw that. 5 MS. REIN: I think it's a 6 reasonable request. It's a small little 7 portico like everybody has. 8 MR. BELL: Is there anyone here 9 from the public who wishes to speak on 10 this matter? 11 (No response.) 12 MR. BELL: None. Okay. With that 13 said, is there a motion to close the 14 public hearing? 15 MR. POLITI: I'll make a motion. 16 MS. REIN: I'll second. 17 MR. BELL: We have a motion and a 18 second. All in favor? 19 MR. POLITI: Aye. 20 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 21 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 22 MR. BELL: Aye. 23 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 24 MS. REIN: Aye. 25 MR. BELL: Let's go through the

1	Ilene	& Terrence Osbourne
2		balancing tests here, the first one being
3		whether or not the benefits can be
4		achieved by any other means feasible to
5		the applicant. No.
6		Is there an undesirable change in
7		the neighborhood character or a detriment
8		to nearby properties.
9		MS. REIN: No.
10		MR. BELL: No.
11		Whether the request is substantial.
12		MR. POLITI: No.
13		MR. EBERHART: No.
14		MR. HERMANCE: No.
15		MR. BELL: No.
16		MR. MASTEN: No.
17		MS. REIN: No.
18		MR. BELL: Whether the request
19		would have adverse physical or
20		environmental effects.
21		MR. POLITI: No.
22		MR. EBERHART: No.
23		MR. HERMANCE: No.
24		MR. BELL: No.
25		MR. MASTEN: No.

1 Ilene & Terrence Osbourne 2 MS. REIN: No. 3 MR. BELL: Whether the alleged 4 difficulty is self-created, but that's 5 not relevant. Whether the alleged difficulty -- hold on. I missed one. 6 7 Whether the request would have adverse 8 physical or environmental effects. 9 MR. POLITI: No. 10 MR. EBERHART: No. 11 MR. HERMANCE: No. 12 MR. BELL: No. 13 MR. MASTEN: No. 14 MS. REIN: No. 15 MR. BELL: With that said, what is 16 the motion of the Board? MR. EBERHART: I'll make a motion 17 18 to approve. MS. REIN: Second. 19 20 MR. BELL: Roll call. 21 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell? 22 MR. BELL: Yes. 23 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart? 24 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 25 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?

Ilene & Terrence Osbourne MR. HERMANCE: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? MR. MASTEN: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? MR. POLITI: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? MS. REIN: Yes. MR. BELL: How many letters were sent out? I missed the last two. MS. JABLESNIK: For the Purpura application, there were 28 letters. The Osbourne application was 62. MR. BELL: 62. It's approved. MR. OSBOURNE: Thank you. (Time noted: 7:35 p.m.)

1	Ilene & Terrence Osbourne
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE	
3	TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS	
4	In the Matter of	
5		
6	5450 ROUTE 9W, LLC	
7	5430 & 5450 Route 9W, Newburgh Section 9; Block 1; Lot 53.1 & 13 B Zone	
8		
9	X	
10	Data Eabruary 22 2024	
11	Date: February 22, 2024 Time: 7:35 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh	
12	Town Hall 1496 Route 300	
13	Newburgh, New York	
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS: DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman JAMES EBERHART, JR.	
16	GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN	
17	JAMES POLITI	
18	DONNA REIN	
19	ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA	
20	SIOBHAN JABLESNIK	
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVES: STANLEY SCHUTZMAN & ANTHONY GUCCIONE	
23	MICHELLE I CONEDO	
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter Michelleconore@botmail.com	
25	Michelleconero@hotmail.com (845)541-4163	

2 MR. BELL: The next applicant is 5450 Route 9W, LLC. This is a 3 Planning Board referral for area 4 5 variances of the rear yard setback of 6 the canopy to a state highway, 7 minimum off-street parking and the 8 buffer area between a B and an R-39 zone. 10 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Good evening. Mv 11 name is Stan Schutzman. I'm a local 12 attorney here today on behalf of the 13 applicant, 5450 Route 9W, LLC. 14 I just want to note at the outset 15 for the record, the minimum off-street 16 parking request, although it was made on 17 referral from the Planning Board, the 18 applicant chose not to make it. In my 19 submittal letter I was specific to 20 withdraw that part of it. 21 MR. BELL: Which part again? 22 MR. SCHUTZMAN: In my submittal 23 letter of February 5th, I made a 24 withdrawal of that request. That is, the 25 applicant redesigned the project so as to

2 not need a variance with respect to the 3 Oak Street access. In doing so, I should also note 4 5 that the property, from a development standpoint -- I have with me Mr. Anthony 6 7 Guccione who is here from JMC 8 Engineering, should the Board or its 9 members have any questions concerning the 10 development opportunity that we have. 11 We've put some sketches up on the board, 12 if the Board should have any questions. 13 MR. DONOVAN: Stan, I should tell you, we have not heard back from the 14 15 County. The 239 referral, we haven't 16 heard back, so we can't make a 17 determination -- the Board can't make a

18 determination. I'm sure they'll be more 19 than happy to hear from you.

20 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Okay. Since we're 21 here. Basically we're here for a request 22 on three variances, two of which we 23 question some interpretation that has 24 been proffered by the Planning Board's 25 counsel. That's with respect to the rear 1 5450 Route 9W, LLC

yard setback. We acknowledge the need 2 3 for that, so an application has been made 4 for that variance. 5 With respect to the buffer area, it's our reading of the statute that 6 7 since we're between the R-3 Zone and the 8 B Zone, we have a lesser standard to meet. It's been outlined in detail in 9 10 our submittal. 11 With respect to the canopy setback, 12 we questioned the issue of whether it's a 13 structure. Alternatively, we've requested that the Board consider 14 15 approving a variance. 16 MR. BELL: Okay. I'll start down 17 here. I'm going to start on this end. 18 Donna? 19 MS. REIN: Isn't he coming back? 20 MR. DONOVAN: Yes. They have to 21 come back because we don't have the 22 County. Since they're here, they can 23 tell us what it's about and if you have 24 any questions. 25 MR. SCHUTZMAN: We'd appreciate any

```
1
      5450 Route 9W, LLC
 2
            quidance.
 3
                  MS. REIN: I have nothing. Thank
 4
            you.
 5
                  MR. BELL: John?
                  MR. MASTEN: I don't have any
 6
 7
            questions right now.
 8
                  MR. BELL: Okay. Greq?
 9
                  MR. HERMANCE: I have nothing right
10
            now.
11
                  MR. BELL: James Eberhart?
12
                  MR. EBERHART: I don't have
13
            anything right now.
14
                  MR. BELL: James Politi?
15
                  MR. POLITI: When you come back
16
            I'll be --
17
                  MR. DONOVAN: Before you leave, I
18
            have a question, if I can. I don't mean
19
            to put Code Compliance on the spot. I
20
            think I want to get a little
21
            clarification on the buffer area. When I
22
            read the application, I went back and I
23
            looked at Pat Hines' letter to the
24
            Planning Board where he talked about a
25
            rear yard setback variance. I know
```

2 Dominic, in his referral from the 3 Planning Board to the Zoning Board, 4 talked about the buffer area. I wasn't 5 sure where that came from. Looking at the minutes from the December 7th 6 7 Planning Board meeting, it looks like Jim 8 Campbell from Code Compliance identified 9 the need for the 70-foot buffer. I guess I did put you on the spot. I'm sorry, 10 11 Joe. 12 MR. MATTINA: It's from the 13 Planning Board. 14 MR. DONOVAN: Right. We do have 15 another shot. I didn't see it. Tt. 16 wasn't in Pat's letter. Generally he's 17 very thorough when he calls out the 18 variances. I didn't see that. It comes 19 from Jim Campbell, Joe. Maybe between 20 now and next time, since the applicant is 21 questioning the need for it. The buffer, 22 not the --23 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Right. 24 MR. MATTINA: Which note is that 25 under?

2 MR. DONOVAN: You know what, Joe. 3 I don't want you to do this on the fly. 4 I'm going to give you the transcript from 5 the Planning Board so you'll be able to pull it out, what Jim said. 6 It's not in 7 Pat Hines' letter, though. 8 MR. MATTINA: Okay. 9 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Thank you. I just 10 wanted to also note that we did speak to the principal neighbor, which is Orchard 11 12 Hills Landing, a very big residential 13 development, and we have the support that 14 we just recently submitted to the Board. 15 MS. REIN: We got their letter. 16 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Thank you very 17 much. 18 MR. BELL: With that said, you will 19 come back next month. 20 MR. DONOVAN: Any public comment? 21 MR. BELL: You'll come back next 22 month. 23 Is there anyone from the public 24 that wishes to come up? 25 MS. BEATTY: Thank you. I wasn't

2	sure because he was coming back.
3	Barbara Beatty. I live at Par
4	Valley.
5	I just have a question. I did my
6	due diligence, I hope. On March 16th of
7	2017, the Planning Board had denied the
8	same property owners a gas station. It
9	was stated there are three prohibitions
10	to the use of the property as part of
11	that easement. They include a gas
12	station, a repair garage and a truck
13	stop. That was denied. According to
14	what's on your website, they want to put
15	in a convenience store/gas station with
16	six gasoline pumps.
17	My question is, what's changed?
18	It's along the same corridor where they
19	have Cortland Commons right now where it
20	was denied.
21	My other concern is sewage. We
22	know in your paperwork you talk about the
23	environmental issue with the bald eagle
24	and the Indiana bat.
25	Again, I really want to know what

1

5450 Route 9W, LLC

2 changed that now they put in a request for the gas station. 3 It doesn't matter 4 how many gas stations we have, I get it, 5 but what changed? 6 MR. SCHUTZMAN: May I respond to 7 that? 8 MR. BELL: Is there anyone else? 9 MR. SCHUTZMAN: There may be some 10 confusion on behalf of the person. There 11 is a deed restriction with respect to the 12 property on the north side of Cortland 13 Drive where the building is already up. 14 That is a deed restriction relative to 15 car repair, gasoline stations and 16 whatnot. This lot across the street has 17 no such deed restriction. We are free 18 and clear to develop the property 19 consistent with the compliance 20 requirements of the Town without any 21 prohibition with respect to any deed 22 restriction. 23 This is in the B MR. DONOVAN: 24 Zone. Correct? 25 MR. MATTINA: Yes.

2	MR. DONOVAN: Just looking at the
3	code, convenience stores with or without
4	gasoline filling stations are permitted
5	uses, with Planning Board approval, in
6	the B Zone.
7	MR. SCHUTZMAN: I noted again in my
8	submittal letter that we are consistent
9	in the zone in terms of the uses
10	permitted. Thank you.
11	MR. BELL: Which page is that on?
12	MS. REIN: Also, I didn't see
13	anything in the paperwork, I'm looking
14	again, about the Indiana bat and the bald
15	eagle. I know we have six million
16	Indiana bats, but bald eagles, not so
17	much.
18	MR. GUCCIONE: Anthony Guccione
19	with JMC.
20	As far as the bald eagle, you do an
21	environmental assessment if you're in a
22	potential habitat where the bald eagle
23	lives. There's a certain distance from
24	the nest you need to keep certain
25	activities.

2 As far as the Indiana bat, the only 3 restriction typically is that you just 4 clear the forest and the trees in the 5 winter. There are months that you can 6 clear. They don't want you to clear when 7 the bats are nesting. That's really just 8 raising an awareness that those items could exist in this area. 9 10 MS. REIN: Will there be something 11 you bring to us next time to state what 12 you just said and how you will handle it? 13 MR. GUCCIONE: We can do that. 14 MS. REIN: Thank you. 15 The buffer that Jim MR. MATTINA: 16 was talking about is 185-21-D(2). 17 MR. BELL: Say that again. 18 MR. MATTINA: 185.21-D(2). That 19 requires the buffer in the rear yard. 20 MR. DONOVAN: I'm impressed. 21 MR. MATTINA: You give me five 22 minutes and I can find anything. 23 MR. GUCCIONE: We're not 24 disagreeing that a buffer is required. 25 It's the size of the buffer. Mr.

2	Cordisco's letter said the 75-foot buffer
3	is required. If you read the code,
4	there's a table that identifies the
5	buffer adjacent to different districts.
6	They're in an R-3 District. We're a B
7	District. When you're in a B District,
8	it says you need to be half the required
9	setback distance. 30 feet is the
10	setback. That would be the required
11	buffer, not the 75 if we were in a
12	different district. That's a
13	clarification we're seeking. We're
14	agreeing that we need a rear yard
15	setback.
16	MR. DONOVAN: We'll clarify whether
17	it's 75.
18	MR. MATTINA: We can. I'm thinking
19	it's 30 myself. I'll clarify with Jim.
20	MR. DONOVAN: It would be 30, half
21	of that.
22	MR. MATTINA: Half of 30. Correct.
23	MR. DONOVAN: I'm only a lawyer,
24	but that's what it looks like to me.
25	MR. BELL: Is there anyone else

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 here from the public? 3 (No response.) 4 MR. DONOVAN: You need a motion to 5 continue this to the March meeting. 6 MR. BELL: Did you want to say 7 something? 8 MR. DONOVAN: He wanted to say 9 thank you. Thank you. 10 MR. SCHUTZMAN: 11 MR. BELL: Would someone make a 12 motion to keep the public hearing open? 13 MR. EBERHART: I'll make a motion. 14 MR. POLITI: Second. 15 MR. BELL: We have a motion from 16 Mr. Eberhart and a second from Mr. Politi. All in favor? 17 18 MR. POLITI: Aye. 19 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 20 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 21 MR. BELL: Aye. 22 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 23 MS. REIN: Aye. 24 MR. DONOVAN: For the folks that 25 were interested in this, there won't be

5450 Route 9W, LLC another mailing. This is continued to the fourth Thursday in March. Pay attention to the website in case there's an adjournment. Nobody wants to make another 309 mailings. MR. SCHUTZMAN: 309 letters. Thank you. (Time noted: 7:45 p.m.)

1	5450 Route 9W, LLC
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1			
2	STATE OF NEW YOR TOWN OF NEWBURGH		
3			- $ -$ X
4	In the Matter of		
5			NO
6		HOTELS, I	
7	Unity Place & Au Section 97 II		
8			
9			X
10		Dato.	February 22, 2024
11		Time:	7:46 p.m. Town of Newburgh
12		I I ACC.	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
13			Newburgh, New York
14			
15	BOARD MEMBERS:		BELL, Acting Chairman ERHART, JR.
16		GREG HERN JOHN MAST	MANCE
17		JAMES POI DONNA REI	LITI
18		DONNA KE.	L IN
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DAVID DON JOSEPH MA	NOVAN, ESQ.
20			JABLESNIK
21	APPLICANT'S REPRESE		DOMINITORIE ALDANO
22	AFFLICANI 5 KEFKESE		DOMINIQUE ALBANO
23		ELLE L. C	X
24		rt Report	er
25		5) 541-416	

2	MR. BELL: Next is RAM Hotels in
3	Newburgh for area variances of the
4	maximum building height and frontage
5	on a State highway to construct a
6	five-story, 112-room hotel. This
7	applicant was previously approved by
8	the ZBA on October 27, 2016.
9	How many letters went out?
10	MS. JABLESNIK: This applicant
11	sent 15. If you want to go the
12	winner that way.
13	MR. BELL: 15.
14	MS. ALBANO: Good evening. My name
15	is Dominique Albano with Whiteman,
16	Osterman & Hanna representing RAM Hotels
17	in their application for two variances,
18	one for a height variance for 69.4 feet
19	where 50 feet is permitted and a variance
20	for not having frontage on a State or a
21	County highway.
22	Like I just said, this variance was
23	approved in 2016. We also received site
24	plan approval on July 20, 2017 and then

1

RAM Hotels, Inc.

2 It was brought to our attention, 3 when our client sought a building permit, 4 that the variances had expired. We're 5 just seeking the same exact variances that were approved in 2016. 6 7 MR. BELL: They expired? 8 MS. ALBANO: Yes. 9 MR. BELL: Okay. 10 MS. REIN: I have to say I was 11 impressed with the paperwork and the fact 12 that everything in it was smack out of 13 the SEORA handbook. 14 MS. ALBANO: Thank you. I worked 15 really hard on that. 16 MS. REIN: You must know the SEQRA 17 handbook. 18 MS. ALBANO: No admin was used in 19 the making of these pamphlets. I take 20 pride in that. 21 MR. BELL: With that said ___ 22 MR. DONOVAN: It's all in the 23 application. This is new to all of you, not new to me. This was before the Board 24 25 in 2016. The exact variances --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

actually, John, you were here. They're requesting the same variances as were granted before. There was litigation before. Both the Supreme Court and the Appellate Division upheld what you did, the ZBA. It wasn't you in particular, but Darrin was on the Board. The point I want to make, we talk all the time about whether or not what you do establishes a precedent. I always

12 tell you that whatever you do establishes 13 a precedent.

14 You have established, you, Board 15 Members, the ZBA, has established a 16 precedent relative to this case. You 17 have approved these exact same variances. 18 It's the exact same plan. They're only 19 back because the variance expired. If 20 you decide you want to change your mind, 21 you need a really, really, really good 22 reason to do that.

MR. BELL: Would we need to havethe public speak?

25 MR. DONOVAN: Absolutely. If the

2	public decided to come, they're
3	absolutely entitled to speak.
4	MS. REIN: Wasn't there a letter?
5	MR. DONOVAN: I think there were
6	two e-mails.
7	MR. BELL: Two e-mails. One was
8	very strong and one was straight to the
9	point.
10	MR. DONOVAN: You should open it up
11	to any questions from the Board. Not to
12	short circuit.
13	MR. BELL: Is there anyone here
14	from the public that wishes to speak on
15	this matter?
16	MS. EBERHART: Hi. My name is Asia
17	Eberhart, for the record.
18	Just thinking about it. You were
19	saying it's five stories and I'm thinking
20	about the placement. One of the best
21	things about the Hudson Valley is the
22	open space and being able to see the sky.
23	I feel like when we get into building
24	really big buildings, we're getting that
25	New York City affect and it's just going

```
1 RAM Hotels, Inc.
```

2	to be sitting there, especially where
3	it's at. That's pretty much open space.
4	MS. REIN: Thank you.
5	MR. BELL: Anyone else from the
6	public?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. BELL: Okay. With that said
9	MR. HERMANCE: I do have a
10	question. The previous variance was for
11	the same height?
12	MR. DONOVAN: The same height. Not
13	to make the case for them. Most of the
14	roof is 61 feet. It's a very small
15	portion that goes up to whatever, 67.
16	MS. ALBANO: 69.
17	MR. DONOVAN: I have the decision
18	that was written back in 2016. I'm just
19	reading from the prior Board decision.
20	This is what the ZBA said in connection
21	with the height variance requested: "The
22	Board takes note of the fact that only a
23	small portion of the proposed hotel
24	building reaches the 69 feet 4 inch
25	maximum. According to testimony given at

2	the hearing, the majority of the roof
3	portion of the building will be
4	approximately 61 feet which represents
5	less than a 20 percent differential over
6	the maximum permitted height." So
7	there's only a small portion of the roof
8	that goes up to the 69. That's what the
9	Board determined when they granted the
10	variance for this height back in 2016.
11	MR. BELL: Was the Jehovah Witness
12	building there at the time? I know BJs
13	wasn't there, of course. The Chevrolet,
14	the Honda.
15	MR. MATTINA: I'm going to say they
16	were. I don't know for sure, but I'm
17	pretty sure they were.
18	MR. BELL: It's before my time.
19	MR. MASTEN: Darrell, BJs wasn't
20	there. The only one that was there was
21	Park Motors and Barton.
22	MR. BELL: Okay.
23	MR. MASTEN: They were building
24	Morehead.
25	MR. BELL: There's no one else from

2	the public, so I'll need a motion to
3	close the public hearing.
4	MR. EBERHART: I'll make a motion
5	to close the public hearing.
6	MR. HERMANCE: I'll second.
7	MR. BELL: All in favor?
8	MR. POLITI: Aye.
9	MR. EBERHART: Aye.
10	MR. HERMANCE: Aye.
11	MR. BELL: Aye.
12	MR. MASTEN: Aye.
13	MS. REIN: Aye.
14	MR. BELL: Are there any more
15	questions from the Board? I'll start
16	with Mr. Politi.
17	MR. POLITI: Go ahead.
18	MR. EBERHART: I was just thinking;
19	Counsel, you made the point that we
20	really can't stray from
21	MR. DONOVAN: So I would never tell
22	you or any Board Member how to vote. You
23	should always vote your conscience and do
24	what you think is right. I will tell you
25	that if you vote against this, you would

2	lose any lawsuit. I would tell you that.
3	MR. EBERHART: We don't want a
4	lawsuit. I got you.
5	MR. BELL: It's already approved.
6	It's just the variance expired.
7	MS. ALBANO: The litigation was
8	what led us to the expiration.
9	MR. BELL: This is a Type 2?
10	MR. DONOVAN: This is actually an
11	Unlisted action. You issued a negative
12	declaration.
13	One other point I want to make. So
14	in terms of the GML-239, I sent you an
15	e-mail late today.
16	MS. ALBANO: I literally saw it
17	when I walked in.
18	MR. DONOVAN: Eight years ago we
19	sent this to the County because I think
20	the Board thought it was within 500 feet
21	of the State highway. Siobhan scaled it
22	out and it's actually closer to 700 feet.
23	It doesn't meet the trigger.
24	I also looked at the Planning
25	Board, because the Planning Board issued

2	site plan approval for this, and the
3	Planning Board indicated it did not need
4	to go to the County. It appears that the
5	referral to the ZBA eight years ago was
6	in error. This does not need to go to
7	the County, so there's no County
8	referral.
9	We do need to adopt a negative
10	declaration.
11	What I do want to make clear to the
12	Board as well is, in 2017 the Planning
13	Board did a full SEQRA analysis of this
14	and issued a negative declaration. We're
15	just we issue a negative declaration
16	just reaffirming what this Board did in
17	2016. It would just be a motion for a
18	negative dec. That's all you need to
19	start.
20	MS. REIN: I'll make a motion for a
21	negative declaration.
22	MR. EBERHART: I'll second.
23	MR. BELL: We have a motion from
24	Ms. Rein and a second from Mr. Eberhart.
25	All in favor?

2	MR. POLITI: Aye.
3	MR. EBERHART: Aye.
4	MR. HERMANCE: Aye.
5	MR. BELL: Aye.
6	MR. MASTEN: Aye.
7	MS. REIN: Aye.
8	MR. BELL: Okay. So with that
9	being said, the first being whether or
10	not the benefits can be achieved by any
11	other means feasible to the applicant.
12	MS. REIN: No.
13	MR. BELL: Second, is there an
14	undesirable change in the neighborhood
15	character or a detriment to nearby
16	properties.
17	MR. POLITI: No.
18	MR. EBERHART: No.
19	MR. HERMANCE: No.
20	MR. BELL: No.
21	MR. MASTEN: No.
22	MS. REIN: No.
23	MR. BELL: Third, whether the
24	request is substantial.
25	Fourth, whether the request will
1 RAM Hotels, Inc.

2	have adverse physical or environmental
3	effects. I don't think so.
4	Fifth, whether the alleged
5	difficulty is self-created. This is
6	relevant but not determinative.
7	So with that said, what is the
8	motion of the Board?
9	MR. EBERHART: I'll make a motion
10	for approval.
11	MR. DONOVAN: If I can, just a
12	suggestion that you could adopt, in the
13	motion, the approval resolution issued in
14	2016 so it remains the same, so there's
15	no discrepancy between the approval
16	resolutions, if that's okay with you.
17	MR. EBERHART: That's fine with me.
18	MR. BELL: Roll call, Siobhan.
19	MR. DONOVAN: Did we get a second?
20	I interrupted Mr. Eberhart.
21	MR. POLITI: I'll second.
22	MR. BELL: Roll call, Siobhan.
23	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
24	MR. BELL: Yes.
25	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart?

1 RAM Hotels, Inc. MR. EBERHART: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance? MR. HERMANCE: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? MR. MASTEN: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? MR. POLITI: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? MS. REIN: Yes. MS. ALBANO: Thank you. Have a good night. (Time noted: 7:55 p.m.)

1	RAM Hotels, Inc.
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 RIEGER HOMES, INC. 6 2 Mariners Court, Newburgh 7 Section 121; Block 1; Lot 1 R-1 Zone 8 - - - - - - - - - - - X 9 10 Date: February 22, 2024 7:55 p.m. 11 Time: Place: Town of Newburgh 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, New York 13 14 15 DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 SIOBHAN JABLESNIK 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: IAN RIEGER 22 - - - - - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845) 541-4163

1

MR. BELL: Hold overs. Okay. 2 The 3 first one is Rieger Homes, 2 Mariners Court in Newburgh. This was the area 4 5 variance of maximum building height to construct a new single-family residence 6 7 on the property. If I'm not mistaken, one of the 8 9 things we were looking for was a line of 10 sight to kind of give us a picture of the 11 height. 12 MR. DONOVAN: Tell us who you are. 13 MR. RIEGER: My name is Ian Rieger. 14 The application says Rieger Homes, but 15 this is a personal house for my wife and 16 myself. Our personal residence. We're 17 Town of Newburgh residents for a long, 18 long time. 19 I was not here last month. My son 20 was here. I understand not all the Board 21 Members were here as well. I don't know 22 if you want me to give some background or 23 you just want me to go right to the line 24 of sight. 25 MR. BELL: Give us the background.

2 The only two Board Members that were 3 missing were the chairman and Greg 4 himself. 5 MR. RIEGER: Okay. The last time I came in to request was for the 35 max. 6 Т 7 had almost 42. Since that time, as a result of some of the comments at the 8 meeting, I've reduced that to about 38.5 9 10 feet or 39 feet. So I've reduced the 11 amount of the variance that I'm 12 requesting. 13 MR. BELL: Okay. 14 MR. RIEGER: The lot has some 15 unique characteristics. That's some of 16 the reason why I feel that the height is 17 important. We front on --18 MR. BELL: Go ahead. 19 MR. RIEGER: We front on three 20 streets, River Road, Anchorage Drive and 21 Mariners Court. I'm 20 to 25 feet below 22 the grade where the house is going to go. 23 That little orange thing is the house.

24This is the lot. This is the subdivision25map for the Anchorage. I'm on lot 1.

1 в

Rieger Homes, Inc.

We're talking about putting a house 2 3 There's about a 25-foot there. 4 difference in grade from here to here. 5 You see this heavy dark line? That's regrading. There's a big bank. 6 They 7 have the entry feature, a big stonewall. 8 We wanted to put the house here, bring a 9 driveway in from below so we're well below the road. We want to do something 10 11 that's aesthetically pleasing, so we're 12 trying to get some height so that it 13 doesn't look out of place.

14 Some of the neighbors had concerns. 15 I wanted to see if I could illustrate how 16 my house is going to be different than 17 those other houses. Here we have some 18 This house is on lot 4. This is photos. This is lot 4. 19 my house. This is River This house is under construction. 20 Road. 21 It's on lot 4. They opted to build it 22 closer to the road. The subdivision 23 actually calls for driveways coming in 24 off of the new road, but they're in the 25 building envelop and they opted to build

it closer to the road. To do that, to
deal with the grade, they have a huge
retaining wall behind the house. I did
an illustration with the elevation. So
you have that. That's the new height.
This is a different illustration
showing grades. This illustration shows
that we're going to start the house and
the basement floor is going to be at 111.
The ridge is going to be at 158.
MR. EBERHART: Is this
representative of a slab right here?
MR. RIEGER: No. It's a basement.
Here is the basement down here. This is
buried in the ground.
MR. POLITI: No windows?
MR. RIEGER: No windows in the
front.
This is a house built close to the
River Road elevation. The retaining wall
is in the back. My house I have at 158.
This red line here is 160.
This plan here, this is the
subdivision for Anchorage. This is the

\circ	
2	subdivision that was done years ago
3	across the street. These are
4	MS. REIN: Excuse me, Mr. Rieger.
5	Can you just
6	MR. RIEGER: I'll bring it over.
7	MR. BELL: Just move that other
8	one.
9	MR. RIEGER: Anchorage, this is the
10	subdivision across the street. I went
11	the to County and I got the copies of the
12	subdivision map. We kind of Photoshopped
13	them together. I also did a Google Earth
14	overlay on top of that. This is River
15	Road. This is Anchor Drive. This is
16	Mariners Court. This is my lot with a
17	house here. I'm going to be at 158.
18	This red mark is 160.
19	We had two letters written and we
20	had audience participation, a neighbor
21	questioning what was going on. That's
22	these three houses. This driveway is
23	right around 160. The house ends up plus
24	or minus 200, the grade at the house.
25	The house is on top of it.

1

2 This one, 717 River Road, the 3 people are here in the audience, their 4 driveway is at 153 and their house is at 5 210. This is 160. The orange mark which you can barely see, that's 200. 6 These 7 are the three. 8 We have two letters and we have 9 people in the audience. This is the 10 height of my roof. These are steep 11 This driveway, 717, is at 153. banks. 12 The grade at the house is 210. The house 13 is on top of it. This one at 725, the house is at 14 15 225, way up there. You can't see it on here, but the driveway goes up and 16 17 there's a switchback and around. I mean, 18 it's beautiful up there, but it's high. 19 MR. BELL: Okay. 20 MR. RIEGER: This is what it looks 21 like across the street. This is looking 22 towards the river. This is the existing 23 I'm not building along River house. 24 This black line is approximately Road. 25 where my roof would be.

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 MR. BELL: Where your roof would 3 be? 4 This black line MR. RIEGER: Yes. 5 is about where my roof would be. 6 MR. BELL: Okay. 7 MR. RIEGER: This is 725. That's 8 still under construction. 9 MR. POLITI: It's still under 10 construction? 11 MR. RIEGER: This is 725. This is 12 the one to the north. This is 717. I 13 tried to take a picture of 709. If you 14 look closely, I was standing on River 15 Road, I'm looking up the bank, across 16 this lot, and that's what I can see of 17 the house. I don't think we're going to 18 impact them. 19 MR. BELL: Okay. 20 MR. RIEGER: So yesterday I wasn't 21 sure I was going to be able to do it 22 because of the snow. I did have a lift 23 out on the site. You can see I had a 24 lift and that's what we measured from. 25 There are some pipes up there. You can

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 see that. This one --3 MR. BELL: Make sure she sees down 4 there. 5 MR. RIEGER: It starts here, but 6 this is a lift and that's the height of 7 the roof. I put a sticky here, a sticky 8 note here, to reflect that. 9 I took these pictures from 10 different vantage points. I'll show you 11 where I took these pictures from. This 12 is the lift. That's the high point. This is about the corner of the roof. 13 14 From where I was standing here, you can 15 see the house up on the hill entirely. 16 We're not going to block -- the river is 17 the view. We're not blocking the river 18 view. 19 These were taken from -- these 20 first two pictures were taken from here, 21 the lift up the hill. I could see the 22 entire house and some of their front 23 lawn. 24 The second two pictures were taken 25 from over here, more at an angle. Again

2	we raised the lift.
3	The first two pictures we had the
4	lift at the roof height. We raised the
5	lift to the maximum and I took a couple
6	of shots from here. You can still see
7	you can still see lawn on the upper side.
8	I had asked permission to go onto
9	the across the street to take shots.
10	I did get permission, but I didn't I
11	asked I didn't get it until it was too
12	late. I did go up today and just took a
13	couple of pictures. This picture is from
14	here. I took it from you can see it's
15	right at that bend and I'm looking down.
16	I put a couple of lines through here
17	where the lift was because I transposed
18	them from the trees.
19	MR. POLITI: You have a line.
20	MR. RIEGER: I went up to this
21	point here and took a picture down. This
22	is River Road.
23	MS. REIN: I get that.
24	MR. RIEGER: I went up this
25	point is about 200. This house is up

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 MS. REIN: Where is your house 3 going to be? 4 MR. RIEGER: Over here. 5 MS. REIN: The same place. The 6 land around that, are there more plots 7 available? 8 MR. RIEGER: So you can kind of see 9 on this one. This is lot 1, lot 2, lot 10 3. This is lot 4. Lot 4 is --11 MS. REIN: Are there any homes on 12 those? 13 MR. RIEGER: No. Not yet. 14 MS. REIN: The issue then, too, is 15 if we approve this, it's going to set a 16 precedent, and those other folks that 17 come in --18 MR. DONOVAN: Just to kind of 19 orient, I want to make sure I understand 20 exactly. 35 feet is the maximum allowed. 21 You're asking for 39 feet. Correct? So 22 it's a 4-foot variance. 23 MR. RIEGER: The ironic thing is, 24 if I chose to build a house on the road 25 like they did on lot 4 and kept it at 35

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 feet, my house would be 18 feet higher 3 than I'm asking. 4 MR. DONOVAN: Because of the 5 definition of height. MR. RIEGER: I'm taking into 6 7 account -- I'm trying to work with the 8 land and I'm trying to have less impact 9 and less retaining walls, unlike a lot of 10 the other houses. I'm trying to be 11 sensitive to the situation. If I can't 12 do this, I'm going to have to be 13 considerate by design, if that's an 14 option, build it on the road, keep it at 15 35 feet, because I don't need to make the 16 roof high because I'm up high. I don't 17 need to come in and feel like I'm in a 18 hole. I can be 15 or 18 feet higher as 19 of right. 20 MR. BELL: Okay. 21 That's where I am. MR. RIEGER: 22 MR. BELL: All right. Is there 23 anyone here from the public that wishes 24 to speak? Step up, sir. 25 MR. McGARVEY: I'm Michael

2 McGarvey, 725 River Road. I'm one of the 3 homes that Mr. Rieger referred to in his 4 presentation, which I'm afraid I couldn't 5 actually see. I previously sent the Board a 6 7 letter which expressed my concerns. I'm 8 appreciative that the Board is having a 9 second hearing on this which gave me an 10 opportunity to be here in person. 11 I'm familiar with this lot that's 12 under discussion. It's in a bit of a 13 If you had an opportunity to go swale. 14 out and see, I think it's reasonable to 15 say that whoever buys or bought that lot would probably not have any expectation 16 17 of a dazzling river view. 18 I quess my concern is that I 19 assumed that when this development was 20 originally approved, the specifications 21 and restrictions were done after good and 22 careful thought and that there was a 23 substantial reasoning and thinking and 24 consideration that went into those

25 specifications.

2 Going to one of Mr. Donovan's 3 earlier comments, when the Board makes a 4 decision, that does set a precedent. 5 Because there are still unbuilt lots in 6 that development, I am somewhat 7 concerned, though I don't think my 8 particular view is going to be impaired, 9 but I am concerned about the general 10 affect on that development and the neighborhood, which is quite a nice 11 12 neighborhood. 13 I would urge the Board to err on 14 the side of conservatism in considering 15 this request. I'm certainly not trying 16 to impair my potential new neighbor's 17 ability to optimize the attractiveness of 18 his own lot and residence, but I just 19 would urge careful consideration. I am 20 concerned about the possibility of 21 precedence. 22 Thanks for your consideration.

23 MR. BELL: Okay. Is there anyone24 else?

MR.

25

MR. HYMAN: I'm Barry Hyman. I'm

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 at 717. 3 I also did not get a chance to see 4 the pictures. I would like to see them, 5 if that's possible. MR. RIEGER: This is from your --6 7 this would be the height of the house. 8 Your house is up 20 to 25 feet higher than this point. This would be my roof 9 10 It doesn't impact your view. line. This 11 is looking up from inside the subdivision. You can see it's not even 12 from the river, it's inside the 13 14 subdivision. Looking over my roof, you 15 can see a large part. You're talking 4 16 feet. It doesn't hurt my view. This is 17 the view from my lot. MR. McGARVEY: That's beautiful. 18 19 MR. BELL: It's nice that the 20 gentlemen get along. 21 MR. RIEGER: I don't need the 22 height for the view. I need the height 23 because I'm in a hole. It's not going to impact anybody else's view. 24 25 MR. HYMAN: At the last meeting we

2 were surprised and we didn't know what to 3 expect. We do appreciate the Board 4 suggesting further information. 5 From our standpoint, from our house I can see the river and it won't be a 6 7 problem. From the pictures that he did 8 show of the other homes, that one home on 9 the fourth lot, that one they built and 10 instead of having the driveway come from 11 the bottom, they put their driveway up on 12 River Road, which may not be allowed. Ι 13 know that house blocks our neighbor's 14 view a lot because of the height on that. 15 I'm certain that that's a problem. Ι 16 don't think that was meant. I think it 17 was supposed to be from below and they 18 did it that way. I don't know who gave 19 permission for that. I hope that's not a 20 precedent either.

Those were our concerns. Since we didn't really know what was being built, that's why we came. I'm not objecting.

I'm more concerned about the othertwo lots that are open and the same idea.

I don't know if you're allowed to set
precedence and that's it or you look at
each one individually as they come,
because we might be back with concerns
when those other two are built. We don't
want to think about that one over there,
which seems to be
MR. RIEGER: It was built to code
and they didn't need to come for a
variance.
MR. DONOVAN: I think it's a great
point that's been raised as we talked
about precedent. We had a precedent
tonight.
If you are inclined to grant this
variance, you certainly could see that
what is critical to your thinking was the
topography of the lot and the fact that
this was well below the road and would
not have an impact on any adjacent
neighbor's view of the river. If there
were different facts and circumstances,
you may rule differently.
MS. REIN: That wouldn't set a

2 precedent?
3 MR. DONOVAN: If you had somebody
4 else in the same topography on their lot,
5 it might. You're looking for a 4-foot
6 variance. If you had someone else with
7 the same topography looking for a 4-foot

Rieger Homes, Inc.

1

8 variance, they would not likely impact9 anyone else's view.

10MS. REIN: Dr. Hyman, are you okay11with this now? Have you rescinded your --12MR. HYMAN: I have to ask my wife.13MR. DONOVAN: That is a very wise14man.

MR. RIEGER: Can I call my wife?
MS. HYMAN: Personally I have to
tell you that I have a big problem
because I see everybody's roof.
Everybody's roof. I will see Ian's roof
also.

Last week it was 7 feet difference.
Now it's 4 feet difference. I feel a
little better about it.

24My taxes are not going down because25it goes up, right? That's another

2 problem. The value of all the homes in 3 that area are dependent on the view, 4 they're dependent on the beauty of the 5 area and everything. That's something 6 that is very important to us. That's why 7 we are paying all these taxes. That's 8 why we paid so much money to have the 9 house there. 10 MR. RIEGER: That's why they asked 11 me to do a visual, to protect your 12 interest. 13 MS. HYMAN: To protect each other's 14 property. If your property goes under, 15 mine will. If mine goes under, yours will, too. 16 17 MR. DONOVAN: If I can make a 18 point. What you do in the context of an 19 area variance is guided by balancing the 20 five factors. Whether people in the 21 neighborhood, or anyone else, thinks it's 22 a good idea or a bad idea, it's nice to 23 hear, that's why you have public comment. 24 That's not the guiding beacon for your 25 decision. It's got to be the balancing

```
1
      Rieger Homes, Inc.
 2
            of the five factors and where you come
 3
            down on that.
 4
                  MS. HYMAN: Thank you.
 5
                  MR. BELL: With that said, I'll
            make a motion to close the public
 6
 7
            hearing.
                                 I'll second it.
 8
                  MR. EBERHART:
 9
                  MR. BELL: All in favor?
10
                  MR. POLITI: Aye.
11
                  MR. EBERHART: Aye.
12
                  MR. HERMANCE:
                                 Aye.
13
                  MR. BELL: Aye.
14
                  MR. MASTEN: Aye.
15
                  MS. REIN: Aye.
16
                  MR. DONOVAN: This is a Type 2
17
            action under SEORA, Mr. Chairman.
18
                  MR. BELL: I'll go through the
19
            balancing act here, the first one being
20
            whether or not the benefits can be
            achieved by any other means feasible to
21
22
            the applicant. I think he's really shown
23
            us the line of sight. Bringing it down 4
24
            feet from his original request, he's
            trying to work within the guideline and
25
```

1 Rieger Homes, Inc. 2 with the rest of the community. Agreed? 3 MR. POLITI: Agreed. 4 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 5 MR. HERMANCE: Agreed. 6 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 7 MS. REIN: Yes. MR. BELL: Is there an undesirable 8 9 change in the neighborhood character or a 10 detriment to nearby properties. 11 MR. EBERHART: No. 12 MR. BELL: Whether the request is substantial. 13 The fourth one is whether the 14 15 request will have adverse physical or environmental effects. I don't think so. 16 17 Fifth, whether the alleged 18 difficulty is self-created, which it is, 19 but it's not relevant and not 20 determinative. With that said, what is the motion 21 22 from the Board? 23 MR. EBERHART: I move for approval. 24 MR. DONOVAN: Approval based upon 25 the specific circumstances of this lot,

R	i	е	α	е	r	Н	0	m	е	S		Т	n	С	
τ.	-	\sim	9	\sim	-	11	\circ	111	\sim	0	,	-	11	\sim	•

±	Rieger nomes, Inc.
2	given the topography and the fact that
3	it's lower than other lots in the area,
4	it's not going to have any adverse impact
5	on any neighbor's viewshed of the river
6	or anything else.
7	MS. REIN: That's a condition we're
8	putting in?
9	MR. DONOVAN: It's not a condition.
10	It's the basis for your determination.
11	The decision will say that if a different
12	lot came in with a different set of
13	circumstances, you may rule differently.
14	You're identifying the reason why you're
15	treating this potentially different than
16	another lot in this area.
17	I didn't mean to put words in your
18	mouth.
19	MR. EBERHART: I couldn't have said
20	it better.
21	MR. BELL: Which is good because it
22	does leave it open for a different
23	determination if one comes in differently
24	before us.
25	MS. REIN: A precedent isn't set.

1	Rieger Homes, Inc.
2	A precedent has not been set and written
3	in stone.
4	MR. BELL: Okay.
5	MR. DONOVAN: There was a motion to
6	approve and I interrupted.
7	MR. BELL: We had a first by Mr.
8	Eberhart. Who seconded?
9	MR. POLITI: Second.
10	MR. BELL: A second by Mr. Politi.
11	Roll on that, please.
12	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
13	MR. BELL: Yes.
14	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart?
15	MR. EBERHART: Yes.
16	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
17	MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
18	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
19	MR. MASTEN: Yes.
20	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi?
21	MR. POLITI: Yes.
22	MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein?
23	MS. REIN: Yes.
24	MR. RIEGER: Thank you.
25	(Time noted: 8:18 p.m.)

1	Rieger Homes, Inc.
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 PRIMAX PROPERTIES, LLC 6 282 South Plank Road, Newburgh 7 Section 60; Block 2; Lot 65 B & IB Zones 8 9 - - - - - - - - - - - X 10 Date: February 22, 2024 Time: 8:18 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh 11 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, New York 13 14 15 BOARD MEMBERS: DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 SIOBHAN JABLESNIK 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ZACHARY PETERS 22 23 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com

(845)541 - 4163

25

1

Primax Properties, LLC

2 MR. BELL: Next on the agenda is 3 Primax Properties, LLC, coming back from 4 This is a Planning Board last month. 5 referral for area variances of the rear 6 yard setback and minimum required 7 off-street parking spaces for the 8 proposed Dollar General project. 9 MR. PETERS: My name is Zachary 10 Peters from Mercurio-Norton-Tarolli-11 Marshall. We're the engineers and 12 surveyors. My colleague, Ryan Smithem, 13 was here last month and gave an initial 14 presentation to the Board. I'll just 15 touch on that briefly. It sounds like 16 most of you were all here. I'll catch 17 everyone up to speed. 18 This is the proposed Dollar General

19 retail store. We are requesting two 20 variances. The first is a rear yard 21 variance. I'll step away and try to talk 22 loud. At the back here, the zoning 23 setback line to the rear, 30 feet is 24 what's required. We're showing 21.2 feet. 25 We went in with a sketch plan and

1

Primax Properties, LLC

2 had an initial appearance before the 3 Planning Board. There was a discussion 4 at that meeting that the Planning Board 5 is going to require sidewalks along the 6 site frontage. Based on past experience 7 with DOT, which this is a DOT road, we 8 know they're going to require that they 9 actually take ownership of that land 10 where the sidewalk is. That's going to 11 kick our front setback back and shift the 12 building back. That will shift the 13 building back into the rear setback 14 slightly. That's the purpose for that 15 variance.

16 The second variance that we're 17 requesting is for the number of parking 18 The Town code requirement for spaces. 19 this size building, which is 20 approximately 11,000 square feet, is 73 parking spaces. Dollar General is 21 22 requesting to have 30 parking spaces. 23 That's based on their study and their 24 usage of their buildings. They've got 25 18,000 stores nationwide. Obviously as a 1 Primax Properties, LLC

2 retail store, they need to have parking 3 spaces so that people can come in, get 4 their merchandise and leave. Not having 5 enough parking is detrimental to their 6 business. 30 parking spaces is the 7 number they feel is appropriate. Ιt 8 works for their stores. It's worked at 9 most of the locations that they have, 10 I'll say. That's basically the synopsis 11 of why we're here. 12 I think we were waiting on comments 13 from the County from the last meeting. 14 MR. BELL: Yes. We hadn't heard 15 back from the County. 16 One of the questions that I have is 17 the stream that runs back there, you seem 18 to be so close to that stream. 19 MR. PETERS: I mean, the building 20 is close to the stream. There was a 21 prior approved site plan for this lot. Ι 22 believe it was, I don't know the zoning 23 definition, like a mini-mall with two 24 buildings there and parking. Those 25 improvements actually went right up to

1 Primax Properties, LLC

2 the bank of the stream. We're showing the building is actually farther away 3 from where that parking area was going to 4 5 That was the Trinity Square project, be. 6 I believe, several years ago. 7 MR. BELL: Was that something that 8 was proposed there? When was this? MR. MATTINA: I don't know if it 9 10 ever received Planning Board approval or 11 not. Not that I'm aware of. 12 MR. BELL: This is the first I 13 heard. 14 MR. MATTINA: That elevation from 15 the stream is quite high. It's not like 16 the stream runs through the back door. 17 The elevation is guite higher than the 18 stream. MR. PETERS: I'll say 5-ish feet 19 20 from the flat area down to where the 21 actual stream elevation is there. 22 MR. BELL: Okay. Is there anyone 23 here from the public that wishes to speak 24 on this? I know we had quite a few last 25 month.

1 Primax Properties, LLC 2 (No response.) 3 MR. BELL: Nobody. Okay. 4 MR. PETERS: One more thing I'll 5 touch on, just regarding the parking and 6 the impervious cover. This is something 7 as part of the site plan design and the 8 stormwater design with the New York State 9 DEC. One of the things that they are 10 looking for is to reduce the impervious 11 coverage on sites as much as possible. 12 Following the regulations that DEC sets 13 forth, a reduction in parking is actually 14 one of the items in their design manual 15 that they require that you look at from a 16 site plan perspective. 17 MR. BELL: With that said, we'll 18 start back down here. Mr. Politi? 19 MR. POLITI: No. You've answered 20 the questions. I visited some other 21 sites that are similar. I'm fine. 22 MR. BELL: James? MR. EBERHART: I'm fine. 23 24 MR. BELL: Greq? 25 MR. HERMANCE: With that rear being

1 Primax Properties, LLC 2 so close, does that affect -- what about 3 fire access? 4 MR. PETERS: It will be accessible 5 on the side here. The front and on this The building is only -- it's 140 6 side. 7 feet long, so it will be accessible from 8 the sides and around the back. There 9 will be space between the building and 10 the bank of the stream to get around 11 that. 12 MR. POLITI: I think last time we 13 were asking if there's egress from the 14 back of this building. One of your other 15 sites does not have any doors in the 16 back. 17 MR. PETERS: I haven't seen the 18 floor plan. I believe there's an 19 emergency egress door on the side. 20 There's absolutely a door on this side. 21 I don't believe there's a door in the 22 back.

23 MR. POLITI: You have another 24 building close where the back wall is and 25 there are no exits. It looks similar to

1 Primax Properties, LLC 2 that scale. 3 MR. PETERS: My firm has done 4 probably --5 MR. POLITI: I don't want to fight for you. 6 7 MR. PETERS: We've done probably 8 site plans for twenty or twenty-five 9 Dollar Generals in the last fifteen 10 years. I don't believe any of them have 11 an emergency access door on the back 12 wall. MR. BELL: John, any questions? 13 14 MR. MASTEN: No questions. 15 MR. BELL: Donna? MS. REIN: I just want to see where 16 17 the parking is going to be. I can't wrap 18 my head around it. 19 MR. PETERS: So the parking is 20 along here. 21 MS. REIN: It's nowhere near the 22 water, the stream? 23 MR. PETERS: No. Essentially the 24 building is going to be sort of a buffer 25 between the parking and the stream.

1 Primax Properties, LLC

2	We're going to have stormwater treatment
3	facilities, everything from the
4	impervious coverage, to meet the DEC
5	requirements.
6	MS. REIN: Will the public be able
7	to get to the stream? Is that a concern?
8	MR. PETERS: I don't think it's a
9	concern. I mean, realistically if they
10	wanted, they can leave the parking
11	area
12	MS. REIN: You're going to have
13	kids running around.
14	MR. PETERS: I don't think that's a
15	concern, but
16	MR. BELL: Okay. With that said,
17	we can make a motion to close the public
18	hearing.
19	MR. POLITI: I'll make a motion.
20	MR. EBERHART: Second.
21	MR. BELL: How many mailings were
22	sent out?
23	MS. JABLESNIK: It was in last
24	month's minutes.
25	MR. BELL: Great.
1 Primax Properties, LLC 2 MR. DONOVAN: It's an Unlisted 3 action, so you need a negative declaration. 4 MR. BELL: Do I have a motion? 5 MR. HERMANCE: I'll make a motion for a negative declaration. 6 7 MR. BELL: First. I'll make a 8 second. All in favor? 9 MR. POLITI: Aye. 10 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 11 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 12 MR. BELL: Aye. 13 MR. MASTEN: Aye. MS. REIN: Aye. 14 15 MR. BELL: Okay. So this is a Type 16 2 action under SEORA? 17 MR. DONOVAN: An Unlisted. Now you 18 have to go through the five factors. 19 MR. BELL: The first being whether 20 or not the benefit can be achieved by 21 other means feasible to the applicant. 22 No. 23 MS. REIN: I'm sorry to interrupt 24 you. I had some notes that I forgot I 25 had. It says, "Will the proposed action

1 Primax Properties, LLC

2 create stormwater discharge either from 3 point or non-point sources," and it says, 4 "Yes." "Will stormwater discharge flow 5 to adjacent properties?" "Yes." "Will 6 stormwater discharges be directed to 7 establish conveyance systems, runoff and 8 storm drains." It says, "Yes." It says, 9 "If yes, briefly describe," and there's 10 nothing there. 11 MR. PETERS: It must have been an 12 oversight. That's from the EAF. 13 Essentially the summary of those 14 questions, will there be runoff generated 15 from the proposed impervious building and parking area. Runoff from the roof is 16 17 going to be collected in roof leaders. 18 As I said previously, all the runoff from 19 the site is going to be directed to a 20 proposed stormwater treatment detention 21 system designed in accordance with DEC 22 regulations. Runoff is going to 23 ultimately be discharged and flow to the 24 adjacent property to the northeast and 25 into the stream. The answer to those

1 Primax Properties, LLC

2	questions is yes, but essentially runoff
3	from the site is going to follow the
4	existing drainage patterns.
5	MR. DONOVAN: I think important is
6	that this is called an uncoordinated
7	review. That means we do our SEQRA
8	review for the two variances that are in
9	front of us. At site plan a
10	comprehensive SEQRA review will be done
11	by the Planning Board. All the
12	stormwater design has to be approved by
13	the Planning Board engineer. They'll
14	obviously put the applicant through their
15	paces at that time.
16	MS. REIN: Thank you. Got to love
17	SEQRA.
18	MR. DONOVAN: Or not, but you have
19	to deal with it.
20	MR. BELL: Let's go back to number
21	two. Is there an undesirable change in
22	the neighborhood's character or a
23	detriment to nearby properties. No.
24	Third, whether the request is
25	substantial.

1 Primax Properties, LLC

2	Fourth, whether the request will
3	have adverse physical or environmental
4	effects. That's what you asked. No.
5	Fifth, whether the alleged
6	difficulty is self-created. This is
7	relevant but not determinative.
8	With that said, what is the motion
9	of the Board?
10	MR. HERMANCE: I'll make a motion
11	to approve.
12	MR. MASTEN: I'll second it.
13	MR. BELL: Roll on that, Siobhan.
14	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
15	MR. BELL: Yes.
16	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart?
17	MR. EBERHART: Yes.
18	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
19	MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
20	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
21	MR. MASTEN: Yes.
22	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi?
23	MR. POLITI: Yes.
24	MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein?
25	MS. REIN: Yes.

Primax Properties, LLC MR. PETERS: Thank you very much. I appreciate all of your time. (Time noted: 8:35 p.m.) CERTIFICATION I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That hereinbefore set forth is a true record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this proceeding by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 3rd day of March 2024. MICHELLE CONERO

114

2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 CASTLE USA CORP/JULY 4EVER 6 382 Rock Cut Road, Walden 7 Section 11; Block 1; Lot 60.2 R-2 Zone 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X 9 10 Date: February 22, 2024 8:35 p.m. 11 Time: Place: Town of Newburgh 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 BOARD MEMBERS: DARRELL BELL, Acting Chairman JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 SIOBHAN JABLESNIK 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: GERALD JACOBOWITZ 22 and VINCENT ESPOSITO - - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com (845) 541-4163 25

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 MR. BELL: Next is Castle USA/ 3 Fourth of July. We have been going back 4 and forth with this one. We know that at 5 the last meeting we captured a lot. We don't have to go back through a lot of 6 7 that again. 8 I know one of the things last time was -- I recall, and correct me if I'm 9 missing this, we were talking about that 10 11 616 code. There was a rule that mobile 12 homes and --13 MR. HERMANCE: Modulars. 14 MR. BELL: -- yes -- were 15 considered a residence now. They can be 16 considered residential, if I'm not 17 mistaken. 18 MR. POLITI: On a single lot. 19 MR. BELL: It was on a slab. Tt's 20 supposed to be on a slab foundation. 21 Okay. Joe, did you find anything 22 on that as well? 23 MR. MATTINA: I have no idea where 24 that ruling comes from. It's not in our 25 zoning code.

1	Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever
2	MR. BELL: Okay.
3	MR. JACOBOWITZ: Thank you, Mr.
4	Chairman.
5	MR. BELL: I just want to move this
6	along.
7	MR. JACOBOWITZ: I'm Jerry
8	Jacobowitz of Jacobowitz & Associates law
9	firm. Mr. Vincent Esposito is here as
10	the representative and principal of the
11	applicant.
12	As we finished the last time we
13	met, which I think was in December, the
14	issue came up about satisfying the New
15	York State Building Construction Code
16	with this structure. My suggestion to
17	you is that is not a matter for your
18	Board. That is not a land use issue.
19	That's a Building Code issue. If you
20	choose to give us an interpretation that
21	that building can be used as a residence
22	provided it complies with the New York
23	State Building Construction and Fire
24	Code, that's all that we need to obtain
25	from you. That gets the ball over to the

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 Building Department and for Mr. Esposito 3 to go in and establish what he has to as 4 a matter of meeting the code requirements. 5 This property has a history from 6 1982 I believe. At someplace along the 7 way, an issue came up about this 8 structure. The Building Department gave 9 a list of about twenty items that they 10 thought needed to be taken care of. A 11 submission was made through, I think, Mr. 12 Minuta's office addressing those things. 13 They weren't complete. There's more to 14 be addressed that the Building Department 15 has on its mind with respect to this 16 particular structure. 17 For your Board, it's a land use

18 issue, it's a zoning issue. It's not a
19 New York State Construction Code.

20 We would hopefully have you vote to 21 interpret the circumstances that we have 22 to allow the structure to be used as a 23 residence since it is in a residential 24 zone. That gives the least precedence 25 for any theory about other people coming

and trying to do something similar to 2 3 that. It's very narrow. We don't need to go into whether there's a house for a 4 5 custodian. We don't have to go into 6 issues of that type. It's a very simple 7 one, can the structure be used as a 8 residence in a residential zone? That's 9 the point that I wanted to make about 10 that. 11 There were two other issues open. 12 One is, can we have off-street parking. 13 The second is, can we have trailers 14 and cargo containers. 15 As to both of those, we respectfully 16 refer you to the decision that your 17 Board was involved in a number of years 18 ago where you had granted a variance 19 for use and the applicant came back 20 later and asked to change it to do 21 certain other things. You ruled 22 against them, they sued you, the court 23 upheld your decision on the basis that 24 the expansion was not consistent with 25 what the original approval was. Our

2 suggestion to you here is that as a 3 wholesale distribution and trading 4 company, it's a normal, usual accessory 5 use to have trailers and cargo 6 containers and off-street parking for 7 trucks. So that, again, is a very 8 narrow interpretation. It relies on 9 the decision that previously confirmed 10 your view of that other particular 11 situation that you didn't think was a 12 usual and natural or customary 13 accessory use to that original use you 14 approved.

15 So that's our pitch. We hope 16 that you'll feel comfortable enough 17 that you're willing to adopt those 18 interpretations, then the Building 19 Department can do its job.

As you recall, I think it was at the October meeting, we had a transcript that we got from the Supreme Court action that the tenant was involved in. He had a conversation with your Building Department and he

2 recorded it. They typed it up in a
3 written transcript and filed it with
4 the Supreme Court. In that, the
5 Building Department said that they need
6 you folks to do what we're asking you
7 to do, to make a decision so that they
8 can do their job.

9 The first three actions you took 10 are a big step in the direction we all want to go. You determined that the 11 12 dome-shaped building was not proper 13 because they did not get an approval 14 for the construction of that structure 15 which is required by your 1982 variance 16 decision. No further structures 17 without approval of your Board. That 18 structure was put up without your 19 approval, therefore it should come 20 down. You ruled on that already. Now 21 the Building Department has the power 22 to enforce the zoning law to have that 23 building removed. We are also doing 24 that in Supreme Court in an action by 25 the owner of the property against the

2 tenant who put that dome structure up.
3 So one way or another, we're going to
4 get compliance with respect to that.
5 A second item was, what are we
6 allowed to do there. Your Board

7 approved us for class C fireworks. The tenant brought in class B fireworks. 8 9 It's not just a change of a letter in 10 the alphabet. It means a lot of things 11 with respect to setbacks, controls, 12 inspections, licensing. We don't want 13 class B, and so we need you folks to 14 clarify that you never approved class 15 B, you approved class C. That's all 16 that we want to do there. That gave us 17 the second basis on which to straighten 18 things out.

19The third is that they brought in20a trailer and made it into an office.21That building is a structure. Under22the interpretation of your definitions23and your zoning law, it is a structure.24Back to 1982 it said no structure25without your approval. They didn't get

2 your approval, therefore that building 3 is illegal and should be removed from 4 the property. Again, you now gave the 5 Building Department the power to do that, and we're doing that in the 6 7 Supreme Court action. 8 The last three items are the 9 three that I started out with, cargo

10 trailer, off-street parking and using 11 the structure that was an office for a 12 residence. The enforcement of that, if 13 you agree to those interpretations, is 14 up to the Building Department.

15 We've also suggested, in the 16 interpretation language, that you 17 specifically refer to the plan that we 18 gave you that limits the area that we 19 can use for storage of trailers or 20 cargo containers. We don't want them 21 all over the property. That's not the 22 way we want to conduct our business. 23 We said we'll confine our use of those 24 types of things to the area we 25 designate on the plan that we had given

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 to you, I think back in October. So 3 that, again, gives the Building 4 Department teeth which to enforce, 5 because there are lines, and those 6 lines are established clearly. Ιf 7 they're exceeded, that's a violation. 8 We're hopeful that we'll be able 9 to get past this and get to start doing 10 the things that we promise you that 11 we're trying to do. 12 MR. BELL: Okay. With that said, 13 we'll start with you first. 14 MS. REIN: I'm just thinking. 15 MR. BELL: John? MS. REIN: Well, you know, it is a 16 17 structure. I think the question of is it 18 a residence is still up in the air. Ι 19 mean, it is a structure. I'll give you 20 that. 21 MR. DONOVAN: I don't mean to 22 interrupt. I think we all know that Mr. 23 Jacobowitz made an application. We had 24 an October 16th letter, a December 20th 25 letter, January 25th minutes, a February

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 14th and a February 21st letter. Maybe 2 3 we'll try to parse these out for the 4 three things. Maybe we can address the 5 first one. I'll go from your February 21st 6 7 letter, Jerry. The question can the use 8 of the office structure be converted to a 9 residence as a permitted use in the R-1 10 Zone. Reserving the building code 11 matters to be addressed by the Building 12 Department, --13 MR. JACOBOWITZ: That's correct. 14 MR. DONOVAN: -- that's the 15 question you want answered? 16 The only thing I would say to the 17 Board on that is, it's in the R-2 Zone so 18 residential uses are permitted. Your 19 authority doesn't extend to the building 20 code as to whether or not that structure 21 is a permitted residential use. I don't 22 think you can say that, in my view. Ι 23 think you can say residential uses are 24 permitted, but I don't want to put words 25 in your mouth.

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 MR. EBERHART: Why can't we just 3 say residential use? Why can't we just 4 confine that residential use is 5 acceptable and we can define by Code 6 Compliance if it meets the requirements 7 as a residence? 8 MR. DONOVAN: For that structure. 9 I don't think we can do that. I think we 10 can say residential uses are permitted. 11 Whether this structure qualifies for 12 that, I think, is beyond the purview of 13 the ZBA. 14 MR. JACOBOWITZ: Okay. In other 15 words, that's for the Building Department 16 to determine when they look at what's 17 there as against the code. That's fair 18 enough. 19 MR. DONOVAN: If we want to get --20 someone could make a motion that says we 21 render an interpretation that residential

uses are permitted and issues relative to

the usability of the trailer are up to

MS. REIN: What he said.

the Code Compliance department.

22

23

24

25

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 MR. BELL: I agree with that 3 wholeheartedly. It sounds good. 4 MR. MATTINA: I just want to clear 5 something up. The reason I sent it to the Zoning Board was not for whether 6 7 there could be a residence or not. The 8 code says mobile homes are not permitted on individual lots. That's what I sent 9 10 it for. Not whether it's a home or not. 11 Whether it's permitted to be there. 12 MR. DONOVAN: Right. You didn't send it. You made a determination. 13 The 14 applicant has asked us for an 15 interpretation. 16 MR. MATTINA: Correct. 17 MR. DONOVAN: That's what I'm 18 suggesting. I understand there may be a 19 disconnect, Joe. We can only rule on the 20 application in front of us, which was for 21 an interpretation. 22 MR. MATTINA: Okay. 23 MS. REIN: What happens from here, 24 Joe? 25 MR. MATTINA: I denied it based on

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 it not being permitted. Whether the 3 applicant sent it for an interpretation, 4 he'll come back a second time. I denied 5 it as mobile homes are not permitted on 6 an individual lot. That was my denial. 7 MR. DONOVAN: That's not the appeal 8 to us. It's for an interpretation. 9 Right? 10 MR. JACOBOWITZ: That's correct. 11 Our argument with the Building Department 12 is about that issue, whether it's a 13 mobile home or not based on the code. 14 It's defined in the code. It's defined 15 in the Executive Law. It's defined by the Federal Housing Administration. 16 17 Whether it's a mobile home, a modular 18 home or a manufactured home, the records 19 of the Building Department have called it 20 all three at one point or another. 21 That's something we can resolve with them 22 across the table, looking at technical 23 requirements and specifications as to 24 what's required under those codes, 25 because those codes have to be met

1	Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever
2	regardless of what anybody else says
3	about the use of those.
4	MS. REIN: So Joe, if we approve
5	this, is it going to come back again from
6	you?
7	MR. MATTINA: As far as I'm
8	concerned, yes. It didn't answer my
9	denial. My denial was you can't have a
10	mobile home. I understand what you can
11	do, but that's not what he applied for.
12	Whatever verdict you render, I'm going to
13	send him right back here.
14	MR. JACOBOWITZ: Whether it comes
15	back or not will depend on whether we
16	satisfy him. If we don't satisfy him, he
17	can render an appeal to you folks, a
18	notice to us and we'll be back to see all
19	of you nice people. I don't want you to
20	miss us too much.
21	MS. REIN: Don't worry about that.
22	MR. BELL: Back to the first. Our
23	interpretation, we have to vote on each.
24	MR. DONOVAN: So there were six
25	questions that came before you. We voted

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 on three. Now you have three more to 3 vote on. If the Board is okay with the 4 motion, I think there's a motion on the 5 floor. MR. BELL: Yes. Let's finish that 6 7 motion. Where were we? 8 MR. DONOVAN: It's a Type 2 action 9 under SEQRA. It's an interpretation. 10 The motion on the floor is to say that 11 residential uses are permitted in the 12 zone, and any issues -- building code 13 issues for the usability of this trailer 14 are up to Code Compliance. 15 MR. BELL: Mr. Eberhart --MR. DONOVAN: He didn't make the 16 17 motion because I would have interrupted 18 him if he did. 19 MR. BELL: Okay. Is there a motion 20 -- what is the motion? 21 MR. DONOVAN: I think Mr. Politi 22 made the motion. 23 MR. POLITI: I made the motion as 24 presented by counsel. 25 MR. BELL: To approve the

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 interpretation? 3 MR. POLITI: Correct. 4 MR. HERMANCE: I'll second. 5 MR. BELL: Roll call, Siobhan. 6 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell? 7 MR. BELL: Yes. 8 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart? 9 MR. EBERHART: Yes. MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance? 10 11 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 12 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? 13 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 14 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? 15 MR. POLITI: Yes. 16 MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? 17 MS. REIN: Yes. 18 We'll see you next month. 19 MR. DONOVAN: We have two more to 20 go. 21 MS. REIN: I'm here. 22 MR. DONOVAN: The second one is, 23 can the business use include, as usual and customary, the reasonable placement 24 25 of trailers and cargo containers as an

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 accessory use, limited to the area 3 depicted on the site plan dated 12/19/23. 4 MR. BELL: What's the motion of the 5 Board for that? I don't know --6 MR. DONOVAN: 7 Jerry, how many are usual and customary? 8 We have to put a number. There was a 9 number on it before I think. 10 MR. JACOBOWITZ: In one of the 11 letters I sent you I cited to specific 12 minutes of your Board's meeting at which 13 they discussed the trailers and the cargo 14 containers. In one instance it was seven 15 to nine. In another place it was a 16 different number. I don't remember which 17 one. Some are big and some are small, so 18 rather than have a number, limit the 19 space that they can occupy, and then 20 whether they're big or small is irrelevant as long as we don't go outside 21 22 the territory that you have designated as 23 the limit. 24 MR. DONOVAN: Jerry, can you show 25 us on the site plan? There's been so

2	much paperwork. Can you show on the site
3	plan where that area would be? If you
4	have a bigger copy, that would be even
5	better.
6	MS. REIN: Where is the area?
7	MR. JACOBOWITZ: This area here.
8	MS. REIN: What does that measure?
9	MR. JACOBOWITZ: That's the area
10	that will be limited to parking of
11	vehicles.
12	MS. REIN: What's the measurement?
13	MR. JACOBOWITZ: I don't see too
14	well. It looks like 300 and this is
15	315. This is 729. This is shorter.
16	MS. REIN: The gray area. That
17	would be just for trucks or cars also?
18	MR. JACOBOWITZ: Both. Everybody.
19	Cargo containers and trailers are limited
20	to that area.
21	MS. REIN: Thank you.
22	MR. JACOBOWITZ: We'll get
23	dimensions and make sure that the
24	dimensions are on the one that's made
25	part of your record.

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever MR. HERMANCE: The aerial view 2 shown here, that's a lot of trailers. 3 4 MR. BELL: That's a lot of 5 trailers. That's more than seven. 6 MR. JACOBOWITZ: You see they're 7 off the pad. That's the tenant's doing. 8 MR. HERMANCE: Your pad would be restricted to --9 10 MR. JACOBOWITZ: The pad is pretty 11 much this gray area that you see. We 12 want to turn them sideways to make it 13 nice and neat. We're trying to turn them 14 to make everything aesthetically 15 pleasing. 16 MR. DONOVAN: When you say them, do 17 you mean the storage trailers? 18 MR. JACOBOWITZ: Right. Even the 19 truck parking, the same thing. We don't 20 want everything spread out all over the 21 place. 22 MR. EBERHART: Did you get 23 compliance from your tenant? 24 MR. JACOBOWITZ: We have another 25 court date on March 14th.

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever We'll have Mr. Minuta put the 2 3 dimensions on the parking area. 4 MR. BELL: It's been so long. 5 You're trying to evict the tenant? 6 MR. JACOBOWITZ: It's been a very 7 long process. It's not as easy as 8 everybody thinks it is. 9 MR. EBERHART: You just can't evict 10 them. 11 MR. JACOBOWITZ: No. Hopefully 12 with the Board now, we'll have, like he 13 said, more teeth to get this done 14 properly. 15 MR. BELL: I see. Okay. We need 16 to make a motion on the second one. 17 MR. DONOVAN: The question was can 18 the business use include, as usual and 19 customary, the reasonable placement of 20 trailers and cargo containers as 21 accessory use limited to the area 22 depicted on the site plan. 23 MR. BELL: We can't specify the 24 number of trailers they can have. 25 MR. HERMANCE: If we specify the

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 area, you can only fit so many in that 3 area. 4 MS. REIN: We need to get the 5 measurements. MR. HERMANCE: We need to determine 6 7 the size. 8 MR. POLITI: You can't do it 9 contingent. 10 MR. DONOVAN: Do you really want to 11 come back, Jerry? 12 MR. BELL: No. 13 MR. DONOVAN: You sound just like 14 Jerry. 15 MR. BELL: This has been going on 16 for a long time. I'm not at liberty to 17 say that. 18 What's the motion of the Board? 19 MR. POLITI: If we don't have 20 dimensions, does that stop the vote? 21 MR. JACOBOWITZ: We're limiting it 22 to the hatched gray area as shown on the 23 map. We will provide the dimensions of 24 what is on that map so that there's no 25 issue about it. We can actually --

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever MR. DONOVAN: That would be the 2 3 condition. 4 MR. JACOBOWITZ: We'll do one other 5 thing. In the field we'll stake the 6 corners. You can see it. 7 MR. EBERHART: What would be the condition? 8 9 MR. JACOBOWITZ: Make that a 10 condition of the motion, Mr. Attorney. 11 MR. DONOVAN: I've never been so 12 insulted in my life. 13 The question is, can the business 14 use include, as usual and customary, the 15 reasonable placement of trailers and cargo containers as accessory use limited 16 17 to the area depicted on the site plan. 18 If you want to answer that inquiry yes 19 with the condition that the exact 20 dimensions of the parking area -- I'm 21 sorry. The exact dimensions of the 22 placement of the trailers and cargo 23 containers be designated on the site plan 24 and staked in the field. 25 MR. POLITI: That's the motion?

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 That's how we should frame it? 3 MR. DONOVAN: That's what I'm 4 suggesting. 5 MR. POLITI: So we can get it 6 passed and submit it to the office. Ι 7 would make that motion. 8 MR. BELL: Okay. MR. POLITI: I'll make the motion 9 10 as read. 11 MR. EBERHART: I'll second. 12 MR. BELL: Roll call on that. 13 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell? 14 MR. BELL: Yes. 15 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart? 16 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 17 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance? 18 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 19 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten? 20 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 21 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? 22 MR. POLITI: Yes. 23 MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? 24 MS. REIN: Yes. 25 MR. DONOVAN: Next is, can the

2	business use include, as usual and
3	customary, the reasonable parking off
4	street of motor vehicles as accessory use
5	limited to the area depicted on the plan.
6	If you're inclined to grant that, I would
7	suggest the same condition.
8	MR. BELL: I'll make a motion to
9	MR. POLITI: I'll make a motion.
10	MR. BELL: You make a motion to
11	approve based on those conditions, as the
12	last one?
13	MR. POLITI: Correct.
14	MR. BELL: With the last one.
15	Okay. Who seconded it?
16	MR. EBERHART: I'll second it.
17	MR. BELL: Roll on that, Siobhan.
18	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Bell?
19	MR. BELL: Yes.
20	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Eberhart?
21	MR. EBERHART: Yes.
22	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Hermance?
23	MR. HERMANCE: Yes.
24	MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Masten?
25	MR. MASTEN: Yes.

1 Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever 2 MS. JABLESNIK: Mr. Politi? 3 MR. POLITI: Yes. 4 MS. JABLESNIK: Ms. Rein? 5 MS. REIN: Yes. 6 MR. BELL: Approved. 7 MR. DONOVAN: We're going to miss 8 you, Jerry. Maybe not. 9 MR. BELL: We have to approve the minutes from the last time. I'll make a 10 motion to approve the minutes. 11 12 MR. EBERHART: I'll second. 13 MR. BELL: All in favor? 14 MR. POLITI: Aye. 15 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 16 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 17 MR. BELL: Aye. 18 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 19 MS. REIN: Aye. MR. BELL: Is there a motion to 20 21 close the meeting? 22 MR. MASTEN: I'll make a motion. 23 MR. EBERHART: Second. 24 MR. BELL: All in favor? 25 MR. POLITI: Aye.

1	Castle USA	Corp	/July 4	Ever	
2		MR.	EBERHA	RT:	Aye.
3		MR.	HERMAN	CE:	Aye.
4		MR.	BELL:	Aye	•
5		MR.	MASTEN	: A	aye.
6		MS.	REIN:	Aye	•
7		MR.	BELL:	Aye	•
8					
9		(Tir	me note	d:	9:03 p.m.)
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Castle USA Corp/July 4Ever
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 3rd day of March 2024.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	